

for all  $v_i \in V_1$ ,  $i \in \underline{n-1}$ . Conversely, if  $(L_i, V_i, \text{ad}_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , are two triples and if  $\gamma : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$  is a Lie algebra isomorphism and  $\tau : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  is a vector space isomorphism such that identity (3.1) holds, then  $\tau$  is an isomorphism of the associated  $n$ -Lie algebras. Therefore we shall view two triples with the above property as equivalent. It remains to determine the triples  $(L, V, \text{ad})$  up to isomorphism of  $L$  and the equivalence of  $V$ .

From now on let  $K$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. We will assume that all vector spaces appearing in the following are finite dimensional over  $K$ .

If  $V$  is a simple  $n$ -Lie algebra over  $K$ , then Theorem 1.1.3 shows that the Lie algebra  $\text{Inder}(V) (= \text{Der}(V))$  is semisimple and that  $V$  as an  $\text{Inder}(V)$ -module is faithful and irreducible. Moreover the  $\text{Inder}(V)$ -module morphism  $\text{ad} : \wedge^{n-1}V \rightarrow \text{Inder}(V)$  is surjective. If  $(L, V, \text{ad})$  is a triple such that

- G1)  $L$  is a nonzero semisimple Lie algebra over  $K$ ,
- G2)  $V$  is a faithful irreducible  $L$ -module over  $K$ ,
- G3)  $\text{ad}$  is a surjective  $L$ -module morphism from  $\wedge^{n-1}V$  onto the adjoint module  $L$  such that the map  $\times^n V \rightarrow V$ ,  $(v_1, \dots, v_n) \rightarrow \text{ad}(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_{n-1}).v_n$  is alternating,

then the corresponding  $n$ -Lie algebra is simple, since an ideal of it is also an  $L$ -submodule of  $V$ . Moreover the derivation algebra is isomorphic to  $L$ . In fact, if  $\rho$  is the representation of  $L$  in  $V$ , then  $\rho$  is an isomorphism from  $L$  to the derivation algebra. A triple with G1), G2) and G3) will be called a good triple. The problem of determining the simple  $n$ -Lie algebras over  $K$  can be translated into that of finding the good triples  $(L, V, \text{ad})$ .

For the following notations one compares Humphreys [7] or the appendix in this work. Let  $L$  be a semisimple Lie algebra, let  $H$  be a maximal toral subalgebra of  $L$ ,  $\Phi$  the root system of  $L$  relative to  $H$  and  $L = H \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi} L_\alpha$ , the root space decomposition of  $L$ . Further let  $\Delta$  be a base of  $\Phi$ ,  $<$  the half ordering on  $H^*$  relative to  $\Delta$  and  $\Phi^+$  ( $\Phi^-$ ) the subset of  $\Phi$  of positive (negative) roots. Let  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  be the nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form on  $H^*$  which comes from the Killing form of  $L$  and  $\langle \mu, \nu \rangle := \frac{2(\mu, \nu)}{(\nu, \nu)}$ , where  $\mu, \nu \in H^*$  and  $(\nu, \nu) \neq 0$ . For an  $\alpha \in \Phi^+$  choose  $x_\alpha \in L_\alpha$ ,  $y_\alpha \in L_{-\alpha}$ ,  $h_\alpha \in H$  such that  $[x_\alpha, y_\alpha] = h_\alpha$ ,  $[h_\alpha, x_\alpha] = 2x_\alpha$ ,  $[h_\alpha, y_\alpha] = -2y_\alpha$ . Recall that for this choice we have  $\mu(h_\alpha) = \langle \mu, \alpha \rangle$  for all  $\mu \in H^*$ .

Let  $V$  be a faithful irreducible  $L$ -module with maximal weight  $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ . Denote by  $\Pi(\lambda)$  the set of all its weights. Then  $V = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \Pi(\lambda)} V_\mu$ .

**Lemma 3.1:** *Let  $L$  and  $V$  be as above. Let  $\tau$  be an  $L$ -module morphism from  $\wedge^m V$  ( $m \geq 1$ ) into  $L$ . If  $v_{\mu_i} \in V_{\mu_i}$  is a vector of weight  $\mu_i$  for all  $i \in \underline{m}$ , then  $\tau(v_{\mu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{\mu_m}) \in L_\gamma$ , where  $\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i$ .*