

root  $\alpha$  of  $L$ . Since  $\alpha_0$  is maximal, it follows that  $\alpha - \beta = \alpha_0 - (\alpha_0 + \beta - \alpha) \succ 0$ . But  $\alpha$  is simple, hence  $\beta = \alpha$ . Finally we get  $\lambda - \sigma_0\lambda = \alpha_0 + \alpha$ .  $\square$

In Proposition A13 all pairs  $(L, V)$  with (3.3) are determined. We list them here again for convenience.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} L & A_1 & A_3 & B_l, l \geq 2 & B_3 & D_l, l \geq 4 & D_4 & G_2 \\ \lambda & 2\lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \lambda_1 & \lambda_3 & \lambda_1 & \lambda_3, \lambda_4 & \lambda_1 \end{array}$$

We shall go through the list and discuss the cases respectively.

*Case 1:  $L \cong B_l, l \geq 2$  or  $D_l, l \geq 4, \lambda = \lambda_1$ .*

In this case the  $L$ -module  $V$  is the natural module  $K^d (= V(\lambda_1))$  of the orthogonal Lie algebra  $so(d, K)$ , where  $d \geq 5, d \neq 6$ . By Table 2 the adjoint module of  $so(d, K)$  has the maximal weight  $\lambda_2$  if  $d \geq 6$  respectively  $2\lambda_2$  if  $d = 5$ . By Table 3, if  $\text{ad}: \wedge^n K^d \rightarrow so(d, K)$  is a nonzero  $so(d, K)$ -module morphism for some  $n$ , then  $n = 2$  or  $n = d - 2$ . In the following we exclude the case  $n = 2$ .

There is up to scalar only one nonzero  $so(d, K)$ -module morphism  $\tau$  from  $\wedge^2 K^d$  to  $so(d, K)$  (see Table 3) and it can be constructed as follows. Let  $b$  be the nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form on  $K^d$  which defines  $so(d, K)$ . Let  $u, v \in V(\lambda_3)$  be fixed. For the linear form  $L \rightarrow K : x \rightarrow b(x.u, v)$  there exists a unique  $\tau(u, v) \in so(d, K)$  such that  $\text{Kill}(x, \tau(u, v)) = b(x.u, v)$ . Obviously  $\tau \neq 0$  and for all  $x \in so(d, K)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Kill}(x, \tau(v, u)) &= b(x.v, u) \\ &= -b(v, x.u) \\ &= -b(x.u, v) \\ &= -\text{Kill}(x, \tau(u, v)), \end{aligned}$$

which implies  $\tau(v, u) = -\tau(u, v)$ . Therefore  $\tau$  induces a linear map from  $\wedge^2 K^d$  to  $so(d, K)$  and we write  $\tau(u \wedge v)$  instead of  $\tau(u, v)$ . Moreover for all  $x, y \in so(d, K)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Kill}(x, [y, \tau(u \wedge v)]) \\ &= b([x, y], \tau(u \wedge v)) \\ &= b([x, y].u, v) \\ &= b(x.y.u, v) - b(y.x.u, v) \\ &= \text{Kill}(x, \tau(y.u \wedge v)) + b(x.u, y.v) \\ &= \text{Kill}(x, \tau(y.u \wedge v)) + \text{Kill}(x, \tau(u \wedge y.v)). \end{aligned}$$