

taking inverse Mellin transforms:

$$\text{cut} + \sum_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^n \\ x \neq 0}} f(x) \sim \text{cut} + \sum_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{O}^{-1} \mathfrak{p}^{-n} \\ x \neq 0}} \tilde{f}(x)$$

$$\left(\text{view } \mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow k \otimes \mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{r_1 + 2r_2} \right)$$

Before I say something about how to produce a ~~more~~ general formula here some ~~indications~~ comments why this could be interesting:

- $\text{Res}_{s=1} \mathcal{G}^+(C, s) \sim \text{Reg}(k)$

(Zimmert used for: $\text{Reg}(k) > \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \text{absolute} \\ \text{const} \end{smallmatrix} > 0 \right)$ for all k)

One wants for relative extension L/k also

$\mathcal{G}_{L/k}(C, s)$ such that $\text{Res}_{s=1} \sim \text{regulator of } L/k$

Friedman ~~tr~~: used this approach for: Rel. reg. $>$ absolute const if $L/k \gg 0$
 $\mathcal{G}_{L/k}(\) = ?$ but on the inverse Mellin side it exists (conj. of Dage-Mordell)

however only the special choice of f ; we could probably do better with more general f ?

- useful for proving absolute lower bounds for covolume of arithmetic subgroups of algebraic groups (details in a moment). (Kojima-Margulis: G semi-simple Lie without compact factors $\Rightarrow \exists \text{cus } \Gamma \subseteq G \Rightarrow \text{plc}(n) \gg c$)

How can we prove a generalized Poisson summation formula?

I only know one approach: