

Elliptic modular forms

① Bielefeld, 5.2.91

Open problems in the theory of Siegel modular forms

To start with let me remind you of some basic facts of classical elliptic modular forms. Everybody here has seen at least one elliptic modular form. Namely, let

$$Q(x_1, \dots, x_r) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_r] \text{ pos. def. quadr. form,}$$

say

$$\det(Q) = \det\left(\frac{\partial^2 Q}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}\right)_{i,j} = 1,$$

then

$$8 \mid r$$

and

$$\theta_Q = \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^r x_i^2 = n}} \#\{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^r \mid Q(\vec{x}) = n\} q^n \in M_{r/2}(\Gamma_1)$$

here

$$q = e^{2\pi i \tau}, \quad \tau \in \mathfrak{h} = \{\tau \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im } \tau > 0\}$$

and

$$M_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Gamma_1) = \text{ell. mod. forms of weight } k \in 2\mathbb{Z} \text{ on } \Gamma_1 := SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$$

$$= \left\{ f: \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{a) } f \text{ per. with per. 1} \\ \text{b) } f(-\frac{1}{\tau}) = f(\tau) \tau^k \\ \text{c) } f(\tau) = O(1) \text{ for } \text{Im } \tau \rightarrow \infty \end{array} \right\}$$

Recall that

$$a, b \iff f\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}\right) = (c\tau + d)^{-k} f(\tau) \text{ for all } \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_1$$

That's why this Γ_1 comes in.

Any

$$f \in M_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Gamma_1) \text{ has FD: } f = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_f(n) q^n$$

Thus an elliptic modular form is given by its FC's

$$f \iff (a_f(n))_{n \geq 0}$$

and so there arise immediately two natural questions

1) Arithmetic nature of the $a_f(n)$?

2) How to generate (compute) the $a_f(n)$ (easy for cubics $f \in M_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Gamma_1)$)?