

Using this and $\phi|_{u,m} \neq \phi \ (\forall \alpha \in \Gamma)$ it is easy to verify that for $\phi = \sum h_g \delta_{m,g}$ the h_g transform like

$$(h_{g_1} \rightarrow h_{g_2})|_{k-1/2} \neq (h_{1,1} \rightarrow h_{1,-1}) \mathcal{R}(\alpha)^{-1} \quad \forall \alpha \in \Gamma.$$

From this it is clear that the h_g are modular forms of weight $k-1/2$,
 $h_g \in M_{k-1/2} (= \bigcup_{n \geq 1} M_{k-1/2}(\Gamma_0(n)))$,

to be more precise, a careful analysis of the representation \mathcal{R} shows:
 $h_g \in M_{k-1/2}(\Gamma_0(4m))$.

So ~~we have~~ Reversing these arguments one gets

$$\mathcal{J}_{k,m} \approx \{ (-, h_{g_1}, -) \in M_{k-1/2}^{(2m)} \mid (h_{g_1}, -) |_{k-1/2} \neq (-, h_{g_1}, -) \mathcal{R}(\alpha) \quad \forall \alpha \in \Gamma \}.$$

Using this Euler proved that

Theorem ^{Let k even.} The map $\phi = \sum h_{1,0} \delta_{1,0} + h_{1,1} \delta_{1,1}$ ~~defines~~ $\rightarrow h_{1,0}(y) + h_{1,1}(y)$ defines an isomorphism $\mathcal{J}_{k,1} \xrightarrow{\cong} M_{k-1/2}(\Gamma_0(4)) \rightarrow \{ h \in M_{k-1/2}(\Gamma_0(4)) \mid h = \sum_{N \in \mathbb{D} \cup \mathbb{H}} c(N) q^N \}$

This isomorphism has several properties (Hecke-eigenform) and play a role in the proof of the Saito-Schubert conjecture. But these are not the points that I will consider here. In any case it may be of interest to generalize this theorem. So I come now to the proper kernel of this talk.

What one wants to do find a map of the form

$$\mathcal{J}_{k,m} \ni \phi \approx (h_{1,1} \rightarrow h_{2,1}) \rightarrow \text{linear combination of the } h_g$$

which would map isomorphically

$$\mathcal{J}_{k,m} \rightarrow \text{nice subspace of } M_{k-1/2}(\Gamma_0(4m), \chi) \text{ for some } \chi$$

(or at least: $M_{k-1/2}(\Gamma_0^*(4m), \chi)$).

Well, such a map ~~obviously~~ not exist in general.

To explain ~~why~~ this the reason for this, and why in order to explain what one can do else, let me rewrite the whole problem more abstractly: