

Note that the Theorem implies a lot of open problems:

- 1) ~~Does there exist a Siegel Hecke-eigenform with $q_1 = 0$.~~
- 2) ~~If f is a Siegel Hecke-eigenform, not "Maass-Special".~~

Let f be a Siegel Hecke-eigenform, not "Maass-Special".

- 1) ~~Can it happen that $q_1 = 0$~~
- 2) What is $D_{F,f}(s)$? (Note: $D_{F,f}(s)$ behaves analytically exactly like the Arthur-Lefschetz $Z_f(s)$, but has a pole whereas $Z_f(s)$ has none!)

and 2

That, what I consider as the Main Theorem of the Theory of Jacobi forms is the following

Theorem (Zagier / arXiv 1986)

There exist for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq 0$ the space \mathcal{H}_k in Hecke-equivariantly isomorphic to a certain subspace $\mathcal{M}_{2k+2}^-(m)$ of $\mathcal{M}_{2k+2}(\rho_0(m))$.

Here $\mathcal{M}_{2k+2}^-(m) \supseteq$ all newforms on $\rho_0(m)$ + a nice choice of old forms

more precisely:

~~Let f be a Hecke-eigenform on $\rho_0(m)$, and that f is its own~~

~~$L(f,s) = \prod_p Q_p(s)$~~

~~Let $g \in \mathcal{M}_{2k+2}^-(m')$ for some $m' | m$ then its projection~~

Assume that

~~$\frac{L(f,s)}{L(g,s)} = \prod_p Q_p(s)$~~

$\mathcal{M}_k^+(m) = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{ f \in \mathcal{M}_k(\rho_0(m)) \mid \exists m' | m, g \in \mathcal{M}_{2k+2}^-(m') \text{ such that } L(f,s) = \prod_{p \nmid m'} Q_p(s) L(g,s) \text{ with}$

$Q_p(s) = p \cdot \text{poly. in } p^{-s} \text{ satisfying}$
 $Q_p(s) = p^{t(\frac{k}{2}-s)} Q_p(k-s) \text{ for all } p \nmid \frac{m}{m'}$

$\mathcal{M}_k^+(m) = \{ f \in \mathcal{M}_k(m) \mid f|W_m := f(\frac{-1}{m\tau})(\sqrt{m}\tau)^{-k} = \pm (-1)^{k/2} f \}$
 $= \text{Span} \{ f \in \mathcal{M}_k(m) \mid f \text{ Hecke-eigenform \& } L(f,s) = (2\pi)^{-s} m^{k/2} \rho(s) L(f,s) = \pm L(f,k-s) \}$