

4.4. *Proof of Theorem 0.5.* By using Proposition 4.2 and Lemma 4.3, we can proceed by induction on the number n of the irreducible components of X as in the proof of Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

- [BM] H. Bass and P. Murty, *Grothendieck groups and Picard groups of abelian group rings*, Ann. of Math., **86** (1967), 16–73.
- [Bo] N. Bourbaki, XXVII *Algèbre Commutative* Ch. II, Hermann, Paris, 1961.
- [D] E. Davis, *On the geometric interpretation of seminormality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **68** (1978), 1–5.
- [GRW] S. Geller, L. Reid and C. Weibel, *The cyclic homology and K-theory of curves*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **393** (1983), 39–90.
- [Gr] A. Grothendieck, *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 224, Springer, Berlin-New York (1971).
- [H] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate texts in mathematics, vol. 52, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [Me] N. Mestrano, *Conjecture de Franchetta forte*, Invent. Math., **87** (1987), 365–376.
- [Mi] J. Milnor, *Introduction to Algebraic K-theory*, Princeton University Press, 1971.
- [P] C. Pedrini, *Incollamenti di ideali primi e gruppi di Picard*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, **48** (1973), 39–66.
- [R] L. Ramella, *A geometric interpretation of one-dimensional quasinormal rings*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **35** (1985), 77–83.
- [Rol] L. Roberts, *The K-theory of some reducible affine varieties*, J. Algebra, **35** (1975), 516–527.
- [Rol] ———, *The K-theory of some reducible affine curves: A combinatorial approach*, in *Algebraic K-theory*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 551, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York (1976).
- [T] C. Traverso, *Seminormality and Picard group*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, **24** (1970), 585–585.
- [V] A. Vistoli, *Incollamento di punti chiusi e gruppo fondamentale algebrico e topologico*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, **69** (1983), 243–256.

Received May 4, 1991 and in revised form February 12, 1992.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA—UNIVERSITÀ
VIA L. B. ALBERTI 4
I-16132 GENOVA, ITALY

ON SOME EXPLICIT FORMULAS
IN THE THEORY OF WEIL REPRESENTATION

R. RANGA RAO

The object of this paper is to derive some explicit formulae concerning the Weil representation that allow us to define this projective representation in a unique manner for each choice of symplectic basis.

Let F be a self-dual locally compact field of char $\neq 2$ and X a symplectic vector space over F . Let V, V^* be two transversal Lagrangian subspaces. Then a classical construction due to Shale-Segal-Weil gives a projective representation of the symplectic group $\text{Sp}(X)$ in the Schwartz-space of V . The operators $\xi(\sigma)$ corresponding to each $\sigma \in \text{Sp}(X)$ are determined uniquely only up to a scalar multiple. The starting point of this paper is an explicit integral formula for these operators $\xi(\sigma)$, valid for all $\sigma \in \text{Sp}(X)$. In fact (see Lemma 3.2) we have for each $\sigma \in \text{Sp}(X)$

$$\xi(\sigma)\varphi: x \rightarrow \int_{V^*/\ker \gamma} f_\sigma(x, x^*)\varphi(x\alpha + x^*\gamma) d\mu_\sigma$$

where μ_σ is a Haar measure on $V^*/\ker \gamma$, and f_σ is the character of second degree on X , associated to σ . Here $\sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{bmatrix}$ is the matrix representation of σ in the decomposition $X = V + V^*$. This formula is known and already present in Weil's paper when $\gamma = 0$ or when γ is an isomorphism. The extension of its validity for all σ enables us to show that it is possible to define the projective representation in a unique way for each choice of symplectic basis. Let $e_1, \dots, e_n, e_1^*, \dots, e_n^*$ be a symplectic basis of X such that $e_1, \dots, e_n, e_1^*, \dots, e_n^*$ is a basis of V (V^*). Let W be the finite subgroup of $\text{Sp}(X)$ consisting of all σ such that $\{e_i, e_i^*\}\sigma \subseteq \{\pm e_i, \pm e_i^*\}$ for each i . Then one has the well-known Bruhat decomposition $\text{Sp}(X) = PWP$, where P is the stabilizer of V^* . Then it is shown that it is possible to make consistent choices of the Haar measures μ_σ so that (1) $\xi(p_1\sigma p_2) = \xi(p_1)\xi(\sigma)\xi(p_2)$ for all $p_1, p_2 \in P$ and (2) $\xi(\sigma_1\sigma_2) = \xi(\sigma_1)\xi(\sigma_2)$ for all $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in W$. Moreover all such are determined. Among these there is one choice $\sigma \rightarrow r(\sigma)$ called the standard model which in addition satisfies non-negativity properties similar to those of the Fourier Transform. All

this is done in §3 and the main result is stated in Theorem 3.6. For the standard model the multiplier or the 2-cocycle $c(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is explicitly described in §4, Theorem 4 in terms of the Leray invariant, i.e. $c(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is the Weil index of the Leray invariant of the Lagrangian subspaces V^* , $V^*\sigma_2^{-1}$, $V^*\sigma_1$. This formula generalizes one given by Weil when $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_1\sigma_2$ belongs to the big Bruhat cell. Finally we find normalizing constants $m(\sigma)$ such that $r^\sim(\sigma) = m(\sigma)r(\sigma)$ is metaplectic, i.e. the associated multiplier is ± 1 valued. An explicit formula for the multiplier $c^\sim(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ of r is given in Theorem 5.1. This generalizes a result of Kubota. In fact the formula given reduces to that of Kubota when $\dim X = 2$. Although Moore [18] has described the cohomology groups, the explicit formula for the multiplier given here appears to be new.

Preliminaries on symplectic geometry are discussed in §2. Here the Leray invariant (= an isometry class of a certain inner product space) of an ordered triplet of Lagrangian subspaces is defined. The main result here is Theorem 1 which shows that the $\text{Sp}(X)$ -orbits of an ordered triplet of Lagrangian subspaces are parametrized by the various dimensions and the Leray invariant. Theorem 2 gives an application of this to the structure of $\text{Sp}(X)$. This theorem is used in the computation of the multipliers. Weil in his paper [16], introduced a constant $\gamma(f)$ for each nondegenerate character of second degree. We call this constant the Weil index of f . The definition and properties of the Weil index, its relation to the Hilbert and Hasse symbols and various known computations of the Weil index are collected together in the appendix.

There is a large literature on the subject. For further references see the recent books of Guillemin and Sternberg [6] and Wallach [17] for the real case and Gelbart [4] for SL_2 and p -adic case and Gerardin [5] for the case of finite fields. Part of the results of the paper were obtained when the author was visiting Tata Institute, Bombay, the Indian Statistical Institute, New Delhi, and the Forschungsinstitut of E. T. H., Zurich during 1977-78. The author would like to express his thanks for their hospitality and support. The author is also indebted to C. Moreno for many stimulating conversations.

Postscript: This paper was written in 1978 and widely circulated at that time. For various reasons it has not been published. Since this paper is referred to in many published papers, it was felt that its publication is still desirable. No attempt has been made to update the references since they are too numerous. Instead we refer the reader

to the books of G. Folland [19] and G. Lion and M. Vergne, [20] and also [21].

2. Preliminaries on symplectic geometry.

2.1. Let k be a field and X a symplectic vector space over k , i.e. X is a finite dimensional k -vector space with a non-degenerate bilinear form $x, y \rightarrow \langle x, y \rangle$, which is symplectic, or $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$ for all $x \in X$. If X and Y are symplectic vector spaces, a k -linear isomorphism of X and Y which preserves the symplectic structures is called a *symplectomorphism*. Let $\text{Sp}(X)$ denote the group of symplectomorphisms of X onto itself. A subspace L of X is said to be *isotropic* if $L \cap L^\perp \neq \{0\}$, *nonisotropic* if $L \cap L^\perp = \{0\}$. A nonisotropic subspace is also called a *symplectic subspace*, since the restriction of the symplectic form to it is nondegenerate. If $X_1 \subset X$ is a symplectic subspace, so is $X_2 = X_1^\perp$ and $X = X_1 + X_2$ direct sum. A subspace L is *totally isotropic* if $L \subset L^\perp$ and maximal totally isotropic or *Lagrangian* if $L = L^\perp$. Two subspaces L_1, L_2 are said to be *transversal* if $L_1 \cap L_2 = \{0\}$. An ordered basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_{2n}\}$ of X is said to be a *symplectic basis* if the following relations hold:

$$\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = \langle v_{i+n}, v_{j+n} \rangle = 0; \quad \langle v_i, v_{j+n} \rangle = \delta_{ij}$$

for all i, j with $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. The following lemma and its consequence will repeatedly be used in this section (for proof see Bourbaki [1]).

LEMMA 2.1. (i) Let v_1, \dots, v_m be linearly independent vectors of X , satisfying $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = 0$ for all i, j . Then there exist vectors w_1, \dots, w_m in X such that

$$\langle w_i, w_j \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } i, j \text{ and } \langle v_i, w_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}.$$

(ii) If L is a Lagrangian subspace, then $\dim X = 2 \dim L$ and there exists a Lagrangian subspace transversal to L .

(iii) If L_1, L_2 are two transversal Lagrangian subspaces, and v_1, \dots, v_n is a basis of L_1 , there exists a basis v_{n+1}, \dots, v_{2n} of L_2 such that v_1, \dots, v_{2n} is a symplectic basis of X .

(iv) Let X and Y be symplectic vector spaces and L_1, L_2 (M_1, M_2) be Lagrangian subspaces of X (of Y). If $\dim X = \dim Y$, and σ is an arbitrary k -linear map of L_1 onto M_1 then σ can be extended as a symplectomorphism of X onto Y , mapping L_i on M_i , $i = 1, 2$.

2.2. Following Weil, we write the action of the group $\text{Sp}(X)$ on X on the right. Let $\Lambda(X)$ denote the set of all Lagrangian subspaces of X . It is clear that $\text{Sp}(X)$ acts transitively on $\Lambda(X)$. For $L \in \Lambda(X)$, let P_L denote the isotropy subgroup at L for the action of $\text{Sp}(X)$, i.e.

$$P_L = \{\sigma \in \text{Sp}(X) | L \cdot \sigma = L\}.$$

Also write

$$N_L = \{\sigma \in \text{Sp}(X) | v\sigma = v \text{ for all } v \in L\}.$$

In the rest of the section we develop some results (needed later) on the orbits of P_L on $\Lambda(X)$ and on $\Lambda(X) \times \Lambda(X)$.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $L_1, L_2 \in \Lambda(X)$. Then there exists a decomposition $X = X_1 + X_2$ into a direct sum of orthogonal symplectic subspaces X_1, X_2 such that*

- (1) L_1, L_2 commute with the decomposition, i.e. $L_i = L_i \cap X_1 + L_i \cap X_2$, $i = 1, 2$ and $L_i \cap X_j$ are Lagrangian subspaces of X_j .
- (2) On X_1 , $L_1 = L_2$, i.e. $L_1 \cap X_1 = L_2 \cap X_1$.
- (3) On X_2 , L_1, L_2 are transversal, i.e. $(L_1 \cap X_2) \cap (L_2 \cap X_2) = \{0\}$.

Proof. Let $M = L_1 \cap L_2$. Then M is a totally isotropic subspace. From Lemma 1, it follows that there exists a totally isotropic subspace F , such that $X = M^\perp + F$ direct sum. Let $X_1 = M + F$, $X_2 = X_1^\perp$. Then X_1, X_2 are symplectic subspaces. Now $M \subset M^\perp$, so we have $M^\perp \cap X_1 = M$, and $M^\perp = M + X_2$. Since $M \subset L_i \subset M^\perp$ it follows that $L_i = M + L_i \cap X_2 = L_i \cap X_1 + L_i \cap X_2$. From dimension considerations it follows that $L_i \cap X_j$ are Lagrangian subspaces of X_j . It is clear that $L_1 \cap X_1 = M = L_2 \cap X_1$. This clearly implies (2) and (3). \square

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $L_1, L_2, L \in \Lambda(X)$ be arbitrary. Then*

- (1) *there exists $\sigma \in N_L$ such that $L_1\sigma = L_2$ if and only if $L_1 \cap L = L_2 \cap L$. The element σ is unique if L_1 and L_2 are both transversal to L .*
- (2) *There exists $\sigma \in P_L$ such that $L_1\sigma = L_2$ if and only if $\dim L_1 \cap L = \dim L_2 \cap L$.*

Proof. (1) Suppose $L_1 \cap L = L_2 \cap L = M$. Choose an isotropic subspace F such that $M^\perp + F = X$ direct sum. Let $X_1 = M + F$ and $X_2 = X_1^\perp$. Then the decomposition $X = X_1 + X_2$ has all the

properties of Lemma 2.2, for both the pairs L_1, L and L_2, L . Thus on X_1 , $L_1 = L_2 = L$ and on X_2 , L_1 and L_2 are both transversal to L . Let $\sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, where σ_1 is the identity element of $\text{Sp}(X_1)$ and σ_2 is any element of $\text{Sp}(X_2)$ such that $(L_1 \cap X_2)\sigma_2 = L_2 \cap X_2$ and $\sigma_2 = \text{identity on } L \cap X_2$. The existence of such a σ_2 can be seen by considering symplectic bases associated to the decompositions $X_2 = L \cap X_2 + L_1 \cap X_2 = L \cap X_2 + L_2 \cap X_2$. It is then clear that $\sigma \in N_L$ and $L_1\sigma = L_2$. It remains to check uniqueness when L_1, L_2 are both transversal to L . Suppose $L_1\sigma = L_2 = L_1\sigma'$. Let $u = \sigma\sigma'^{-1}$. Then $u \in N_L$ and $L_1u = L_1$. If $x \in L_1$ and $y \in L$, then

$$\langle xu - x, y \rangle = \langle x \cdot u, y \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle = \langle xu, yu \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle = 0.$$

Thus $xu - x \in L^\perp = L$. But $xu - x \in L_1$. Thus $x \cdot u = x$ and $u = \text{id}$ on L_1 also. Since $L_1 + L = X$, it follows that $u = \text{id}$.

Proof of (2). Let $X = X_1 + X_2 = Y_1 + Y_2$ be the decompositions of Lemma 2 for the pairs L_1, L and L_2, L respectively. Now $\dim X_1 = 2 \dim L \cap L_1 = 2 \dim L \cap L_2 = \dim Y_1$. Thus there exists a symplectomorphism σ_1 of X_1 into Y_1 which takes $L \cap X_1$ to $L \cap Y_1$. Let σ_2 be a symplectomorphism of X_2 onto Y_2 which takes $L \cap X_2$ to $L \cap Y_2$. If $\sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, then $\sigma \in P_L$ and $L_1\sigma \cap L = L_2 \cap L$. The result now follows from the first part. \square

2.3. Let L_1, L_2, L_3 be Lagrangian subspaces which are pairwise transversal. Then by Lemma 3 there exists a unique $u \in N_{L_1}$ such that $L_2 \cdot u = L_3$. Let u be represented by the matrix

$$u = \begin{bmatrix} I & \rho \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$$

in the decomposition $X = L_2 + L_1$. Since u is symplectic one has that, for $x, y \in L_2$,

$$Q(x, y) = \langle x, y \cdot u \rangle = \langle x, y \cdot \rho \rangle = \langle y, x \cdot \rho \rangle$$

is a symmetric bilinear form on L_2 . Since $L_3 = \{x + x \cdot \rho : x \in L_2\}$, the transversality of L_2 and L_3 implies that ρ is injective or the symmetric bilinear form is nondegenerate.

DEFINITION 2.4. For any three pairwise transversal Lagrangian subspaces L_1, L_2, L_3 of X , let $q(L_1, L_2, L_3)$ denote the isometry class of the inner product space $\{L_2, Q(\cdot, \cdot)\}$ introduced above. We call $q(L_1, L_2, L_3)$ the Leray invariant of L_1, L_2, L_3 (see Leray [8]).

LEMMA 2.5. Let X and Y be symplectic vector spaces of the same dimension. Let $L_1, L_2, L_3 \in \Lambda(X)$, $L'_1, L'_2, L'_3 \in \Lambda(Y)$ be two triplets of pairwise transversal Lagrangian subspaces. Then there exists a symplectomorphism σ of X onto Y such that

$$L_j \cdot \sigma = L'_j, \quad j = 1, 2, 3,$$

if and only if

$$q(L_1, L_2, L_3) = q(L'_1, L'_2, L'_3).$$

Proof. Suppose first $L_j \sigma = L'_j$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, for a symplectomorphism σ . Let $u \in N_{L_1}$ be such that $L_2 \cdot u = L_3$. Let $u' = \sigma^{-1}u\sigma$. Then it is clear that $u' \in N_{L'_1 \cdot \sigma} = N_{L'_1}$ and $L'_2 \cdot u' = L_2 \cdot \sigma u' = L_2 u \sigma = L_3 \sigma = L'_3$. Thus, for $x, y \in L_2$

$$Q'(x \cdot \sigma, y \cdot \sigma) = \langle x \cdot \sigma, y \cdot \sigma u \rangle = \langle x, y \cdot u' \rangle = Q(x, y).$$

Thus Q and Q' are isometric. Conversely suppose $q(L_1, L_2, L_3) = q(L'_1, L'_2, L'_3)$. Let $u \in N_{L_1}$, $u' \in N_{L'_1}$ be the unique elements such that

$$L_2 \cdot u = L_3, \quad L'_2 \cdot u' = L'_3.$$

Let $Q'(x', y') = \langle x', y' \cdot u' \rangle$, $x', y' \in L'_2$. Similarly $Q(x, y) = \langle x, y \cdot u \rangle$, $x, y \in L_2$. Since Q and Q' are isometric, there exists a k -linear isomorphism σ of L_2 onto L'_2 such that $Q'(x \cdot \sigma, y \cdot \sigma) = Q(x, y)$ for all x, y . Now $X = L_1 + L_2$ and $Y = L'_1 + L'_2$. By using symplectic bases, it is clear σ can be extended to a symplectomorphism of X onto Y such that $L_1 \cdot \sigma = L'_1$. Let $L''_3 = L_3 \cdot \sigma$. We have only to show that $L''_3 = L'_3$. Now $L''_3 = L_2 u \cdot \sigma = L_2 \sigma (\sigma^{-1} u \sigma) = L'_2 \cdot u''$ where $u'' = \sigma^{-1} u \sigma \in N_{L'_1}$. Now, for all $x, y \in L_2$,

$$\langle x, y u \rangle = Q(x, y) = Q'(x \sigma, y \sigma) = \langle x \cdot \sigma, y \cdot \sigma \cdot u' \rangle.$$

On the other hand

$$\langle x \cdot \sigma, y \cdot \sigma \cdot u'' \rangle = \langle x \cdot \sigma, y \cdot \sigma u \rangle = \langle x, y u \rangle.$$

Thus $\langle x', y' \cdot u'' \rangle = \langle x', y' \cdot u' \rangle$ for all $x' = x \cdot \sigma$, $y' = y \cdot \sigma \in L'_2$. Since both $u', u'' \in N_{L'_1}$, it follows that $u' = u''$. But $L'_2 \cdot u' = L'_3$. Thus $L'_3 = L''_3 = L_3 \cdot \sigma$. \square

For the sake of clarifying the nature of the invariant $q(L_1, L_2, L_3)$ we note the following.

LEMMA 2.6. If L_1, L_2, L_3 is any triplet of pairwise transversal Lagrangian subspaces, then

$$q(L_1, L_2, L_3) = q(L_2, L_3, L_1) = q(L_3, L_1, L_2)$$

and

$$q(L_1, L_2, L_3) = -q(L_1, L_3, L_2).$$

Here $-q$ is the isometry class of the symmetric bilinear form $(-Q)$, if q is the isometry class of Q .

Proof. Let $u_j \in N_{L_j}$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, be defined by $L_2 \cdot u_1 = L_3$, $L_3 \cdot u_2 = L_1$, $L_1 \cdot u_3 = L_2$. In the decomposition $X = L_2 + L_1$, let the matrix of u_1 be

$$u_1 = \begin{bmatrix} I & \rho \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $L_3 = \{x_2 + x_2 \cdot \rho : x_2 \in L_2\}$. Then it may be checked that

$$u_2 = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ -\rho^{-1} & I \end{bmatrix}, \quad u_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2I & \rho \\ -\rho^{-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

From this it follows that $u_3 u_1 u_2 = -(u_1)^{-3}$. In particular $u_3 u_1 u_2 \in N_{L_1}$. Similarly $u_1 u_2 u_3 = -(u_2)^{-3} \in N_{L_2}$ etc. Now $q(L_1, L_2, L_3)$ is the isometry class of $Q_2(x_2, y_2) = \langle x_2, y_2 \cdot u_1 \rangle$, $x_2, y_2 \in L_2$. Note that $L_1 \cdot u_3 = L_2$ and

$$Q_2(x_1 \cdot u_3, y_1 \cdot u_3) = \langle x_1 \cdot u_3, y_1 \cdot u_3 u_1 \rangle = \langle x_1 \cdot u_3 u_2, y_1 \cdot u_3 u_1 u_2 \rangle = \langle x_1 \cdot u_3, -y_1 \rangle = \langle y_1, x_1 \cdot u_3 \rangle.$$

Here in the last step we have used that $(-u_3 u_1 u_2) \in N_{L_1}$, and $u_2 \in N_{L_2}$. Since $q(L_3, L_1, L_2)$ is the isometry class of the form $\{\langle x_1, y_1 \cdot u_3 \rangle, x_1, y_1 \in L_1\}$, it follows that $q(L_3, L_1, L_2) = q(L_1, L_2, L_3)$. The other relations are proved similarly.

REMARK. When k is the field of real numbers Leray in [8] introduced the inertia of three pairwise transversal Lagrangian subspaces L_1, L_2, L_3 as follows: Consider $x_j \in L_j$ such that $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0$. Note given one of the x_i 's, the other are uniquely determined, thus given x_1, x_2 is the unique element of \bar{L}_2 such that $x_1 + x_2 \in L_3$ etc. Let ρ be the map $L_2 \rightarrow L_1$ defined by $x_2 \rightarrow x_1$, when $x_1 + x_2 \in L_3$ etc. Then ρ is symmetric and it is clear that $L_3 = \{x_2 + x_2 \rho : x_2 \in L_2\}$. Thus the $u_1 \in N_{L_1}$ such that $L_2 \cdot u_1 = L_3$ introduced earlier is given by

$$u_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

etc. The index of inertia (or the number of negative eigenvalues of ρ) is called by Leray the inertia of the triplet. Since the index of inertia of a real quadratic form of a given degree, determines its isometry class, it seems appropriate to call this the Leray invariant (see also the book [6]).

2.4. We have just seen that the isometry class $q(L_1, L_2, L_3)$ describes the $\text{Sp}(X)$ orbit of the triple L_1, L_2, L_3 , when they are pairwise transversal. Our next object is to classify the general orbit. This requires some preparation.

LEMMA 2.7. *Let $L_1, L_2, L_3 \in \Lambda(X)$. Then for the pair L_1, L_2 , there exists a decomposition $X = X_1 + X_2$ with properties stated in Lemma 2, such that L_3 also commutes with the decomposition, i.e. $L_3 = L_3 \cap X_1 + L_3 \cap X_2$.*

Proof. First consider the case when $L_1 \cap L_2 \cap L_3 = \{0\}$. Then $L_1 + L_2 + L_3 = X$. Thus there exists a subspace $F \subset L_3$ such that $X = (L_1 \cap L_2)^\perp + F$ direct sum. If $M = L_1 \cap L_2$, let $X_1 = M + F$, $X_2 = X_1^\perp$; then the decomposition $X = X_1 + X_2$ has the required properties. It is only necessary to check that L_3 commutes with the decomposition. In fact $F^\perp = F + X_2$ and since $F \subset L_3 \subset F^\perp$, it follows that $L_3 = F + L_3 \cap X_2 = L_3 \cap X_1 + L_3 \cap X_2$. Now consider the general case. Let $M_0 = L_1 \cap L_2 \cap L_3$. Let F_0 be a totally isotropic subspace such that $M_0^\perp + F_0 = X$. Let $Y_1 = M_0 + F_0$, $Y_2 = Y_1^\perp$. Then it is easy to see that L_1, L_2, L_3 commute with the decomposition $X = Y_1 + Y_2$. On Y_1 , $L_1 = L_2 = L_3$ so the lemma is valid. On Y_2 , $L_1 \cap L_2 \cap L_3 = \{0\}$ so that the first case discussed above applies. This completes the proof. \square

LEMMA 2.8. *Let $L_1, L_2, L_3 \in \Lambda(X)$. Then there exist pairwise orthogonal symplectic subspaces $X_j, j = 0, 1, \dots, 4$, such that the following hold:*

- (1) $X = X_0 + \dots + X_4$.
- (2) L_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) commute with the decomposition, i.e. $L_i = \sum_j L_i \cap X_j$.
- (3) On X_0 , $L_1 = L_2 = L_3$.
- (4) On X_1 , $L_2 = L_3$ and L_1, L_2 are transversal.
- (5) On X_2 , $L_3 = L_1$ and L_2, L_3 are transversal.
- (6) On X_3 , $L_1 = L_2$ and L_3, L_1 are transversal.
- (7) On X_4 , L_1, L_2, L_3 are pairwise transversal.

Proof. Note first that the statement (2) above implies that $L_i \cap X_j$ is a Lagrangian subspace of X_j and a property is said to hold for L_i 's on X_j , if the same holds for $L_i \cap X_j$. Now to prove the lemma, let $X = Y_1 + Y_2$ be the decomposition of the previous Lemma 5. Then L_1, L_2, L_3 commute with the decomposition and on Y_1 , $L_1 = L_2$ and on Y_2 , $L_1 \cap L_3 = \{0\}$. On Y_1 , apply Lemma 5 again to the triple L_2, L_3, L_1 . Then $Y_1 = X_0 + X_3$, and on X_0 , $L_2 = L_3$ and on X_3 , L_2 and L_3 are transversal. But on Y_1 , $L_1 = L_2$. Thus on X_0 , $L_1 = L_2 = L_3$ and on X_3 , $L_1 = L_2$ and L_2 and L_3 are transversal. Proceeding in the same way and applying Lemma 5 to Y_2 repeatedly we get the result. \square

Our next object is to show that the isometry class

$$q(L_1 \cap X_4, L_2 \cap X_4, L_3 \cap X_4)$$

is invariantly defined. For this we recall the technique of passing to the quotient X_M (see Leray [8], or Guillemin and Sternberg [6]). Let M be a totally isotropic subspace of X and let $X_M = M^\perp/M$. Then X_M becomes a symplectic vector space with the symplectic form defined as

$$\langle x + M, y + M \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle, \quad x, y \in M^\perp.$$

For any $L \in \Lambda(X)$, let the subspace L_M of X_M be defined as $L_M = (L \cap M^\perp)/M$. L_M is clearly a totally isotropic subspace of X_M . By dimension considerations one verifies that L_M is actually a Lagrangian subspace of X_M (see one of the above cited references).

LEMMA 2.9. *Let $L_1, L_2, L_3 \in \Lambda(X)$. Let*

$$M = (L_1 \cap L_2) + (L_2 \cap L_3) + (L_3 \cap L_1).$$

Then M is a totally isotropic subspace and moreover the images $(L_i)_M$ in X_M are pairwise transversal. Moreover

$$q((L_1)_M, (L_2)_M, (L_3)_M) = q(L_1 \cap X_4, L_2 \cap X_4, L_3 \cap X_4)$$

where the symplectic subspace X_4 is the one introduced in Lemma 2.8.

Proof. Since $M^\perp = (L_1 + L_2) \cap (L_2 + L_3) \cap (L_3 + L_1)$, it is clear that $M \subset M^\perp$ or M is totally isotropic. Using Lemma 6 and the notation there, it is clear that M and M^\perp both commute with the decomposition $X = \sum X_j$ and $M^\perp = M + X_4$, $M \cap X_4 = \{0\}$. Thus the symplectic vector space X_4 is isomorphic to X_M and the isomorphism takes $L_i \cap X_4$ onto $(L_i)_M$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. The lemma follows from this and Lemma 4. \square

The above lemma enables us to introduce the following definition.

DEFINITION 2.10. For any three Lagrangian subspaces L_1, L_2, L_3 , we define

$$q(L_1, L_2, L_3) = q((L_1)_M, (L_2)_M, (L_3)_M)$$

and refer to it as the Leray invariant of L_1, L_2, L_3 .

THEOREM 2.11. Let $L_i, L'_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, be two triplets of Lagrangian subspaces of X . Then there exists a $\sigma \in \text{Sp}(X)$, such that

$$L_i \cdot \sigma = L'_i, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3$$

if and only if the following relations are satisfied:

- (1) $\dim(L_1 \cap L_2 \cap L_3) = \dim(L'_1 \cap L'_2 \cap L'_3)$.
- (2) $\dim(L_i \cap L_j) = \dim(L'_i \cap L'_j)$, for all i, j .
- (3) $q(L_1, L_2, L_3) = q(L'_1, L'_2, L'_3)$.

Proof. The necessity of the conditions being clear we consider sufficiency. Let $X = \sum X_j = \sum X'_j$ be the decompositions of X associated by Lemma 6 to the triples L_i and L'_i respectively. Then it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim X_0 &= 2 \dim(L_1 \cap L_2 \cap L_3), \\ \dim X_1 &= 2 \dim(L_2 \cap L_3) - \dim X_0, \\ \dim X_2 &= 2 \dim(L_3 \cap L_1) - \dim X_0, \\ \dim X_3 &= 2 \dim(L_1 \cap L_2) - \dim X_0. \end{aligned}$$

From this it is clear that $\dim X_j = \dim X'_j$ for $0 \leq j \leq 4$. It is easy to see from the defining properties of the decomposition in Lemma 6, that there exists a symplectomorphism $\sigma_j: X_j \rightarrow X'_j, j = 0, 1, 2, 3$, such that

$$(L_i \cap X_j)\sigma_j = (L'_i \cap X'_j), \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

for each $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$. From Lemmas 4 and 7, it follows that there exists a symplectomorphism $\sigma_4: X_4 \rightarrow X'_4$ such that

$$(L_i \cap X_4)\sigma_4 = (L'_i \cap X'_4), \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Let the map $\sigma: X \rightarrow X$, be defined by $\sigma|X_j = \sigma_j$. Then $\sigma \in \text{Sp}(X)$ and $L_i\sigma = L'_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. This completes the proof. \square

From the above theorem the following corollary is immediate.

COROLLARY 2.12. Let $L_1, L_2, L_3 \in \Lambda(X)$ and let $X = \sum X_j = \sum X'_j$ be two decompositions with the properties stated in Lemma 6, for the triple L_i . Then there exists $\sigma \in P_{L_1} \cap P_{L_2} \cap P_{L_3}$, such that $X_j \cdot \sigma = X'_j$ for all j .

2.5. The group W . We fix two transversal Lagrangian subspaces V, V^* of X and bases e_1, \dots, e_n of V and e_1^*, \dots, e_n^* of V^* such that $\langle e_i, e_j^* \rangle = \delta_{ij}$. Thus e_1, \dots, e_n^* is a symplectic basis of X . Let

$$W = \{ \sigma \in \text{Sp}(X) | \{e_i, e_i^*\} \sigma \subseteq \{ \pm e_i, \pm e_i^* \} \text{ for all } i \}.$$

Then clearly W is a finite subgroup. Define the elements $\tau, \tau_S, a_S \in \text{Sp}(X)$ as follows:

$$e_i \cdot \tau = -e_i^*, \quad e_i^* \tau = e_i \quad \text{for all } i.$$

For a subset $S \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, let

$$\begin{aligned} e_i \cdot \tau_S &= \begin{cases} -e_i^*, & i \in S, \\ e_i, & i \notin S; \end{cases} & e_i^* \cdot \tau_S &= \begin{cases} e_i, & i \in S, \\ e_i^*, & i \notin S; \end{cases} \\ e_i \cdot a_S &= \begin{cases} -e_i, & i \in S, \\ e_i, & i \notin S; \end{cases} & e_i^* \cdot a_S &= \begin{cases} -e_i^*, & i \in S, \\ e_i^*, & i \notin S. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2.13. Any element w of W can be written uniquely in the form

$$w = a_{S_1} \tau_{S_2}$$

for some subsets S_1, S_2 of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Moreover the following relations hold: $\tau_S^2 = a_S$ and $\tau_{S_1 \cup S_2} = \tau_{S_1} \cdot \tau_{S_2}$ if S_1, S_2 are disjoint. In particular W is a commutative group of order 2^{2n} . Every element of W is of order at most 4 and the set of elements of order 2 is precisely the set $\{a_S\}$.

Proof. Let $\sigma \in W$. Then it is clear that σ is of the form

$$e_i \cdot \sigma = \begin{cases} -\varepsilon_i e_i^*, & i \in S, \\ \varepsilon_i e_i, & i \notin S; \end{cases} \quad e_i^* \cdot \sigma = \begin{cases} \varepsilon'_i e_i, & i \in B, \\ \varepsilon'_i e_i^*, & i \notin B. \end{cases}$$

The condition that σ is symplectic now gives that $S = B$ and $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon'_i$ for all i . It is then clear that $\sigma = a_{S_1} \tau_{S_2}$ where $S_2 = S$ and $S_1 = \{i: \varepsilon_i = -1\}$. The rest of the statements follow easily from this.

REMARK. If W_S is the subgroup of $\text{Sp}(X_S)$ corresponding to the data, $X_S = V_S + V_S^*$ and the symplectic basis $\{e_i, e_i^*, i \in S\}$ then W_S is just the group obtained by restricting W to the subspace X_S .

2.6. *The P-double cosets.* From now on we write

$$P = P_{V^*}, \quad N = N_{V^*}.$$

Thus in the decomposition $X = V + V^*$, the elements of P and N have the matrix representations of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & \delta \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} I & \rho \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$$

respectively. The following lemma is known. It describes the P double cosets in $\text{Sp}(X)$.

LEMMA 2.14. *Let*

$$\Omega_j = \{ \sigma \in \text{Sp}(X) \mid \dim V^* \cap V^* \sigma = n - j \}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq n.$$

Then $\text{Sp}(X) = \bigcup \Omega_j$. Each Ω_j is a single double coset of P . Moreover

$$\Omega_j = \left\{ \sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{bmatrix} \in \text{Sp}(X) \mid \dim \ker \gamma = n - j \right\}.$$

Proof. Since P is the stabilizer of V^* , it is clear that $P\Omega_jP = \Omega_j$. Now suppose $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Omega_j$. Then from Lemma 2.3, it follows that $V^* \sigma_1 p = V^* \sigma_2$ for some $p \in P$. Thus $\sigma_1 p \sigma_2^{-1}$ stabilizes V^* and also in P . Thus $\sigma_1 \in P \sigma_2 P$, i.e. Ω_j is a single P -double coset. For the last part note that $\dim V^* \cap V^* \sigma = \dim V^* \cap V^* \sigma^{-1}$ and

$$V^* \cap V^* \sigma^{-1} = \ker \gamma, \quad \text{if } \sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{bmatrix}.$$

This completes the proof.

LEMMA 2.15. (i) $V^* \cap V^* \tau_S = V^* \cap V^* \sigma_S^{-1} = V_S^*$, where S' is the complement of S .

(ii) $\tau_S \in \Omega_j$, where j is the number of elements in S . In particular $\text{Sp}(X) = PWP$.

(iii) If $w_j = a_{A_j} \tau_{B_j}$, $j = 1, 2$, then w_1, w_2 belong to the same P -double coset if and only if the number of elements in the sets B_1, B_2 are the same.

The proof is straightforward and is omitted.

The following theorem plays a central role in the description of the multiplier of the Segal-Shale-Weil representation.

THEOREM 2.16. *Let $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \text{Sp}(X)$. Then there exist suitable $p_1, p_2, p \in P$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &= p_1 \kappa_1 p^{-1}, & \sigma_2 &= p \kappa_2 p_2, \\ \kappa_1 &= \text{diag}(\tau_{S_1}, \tau_{S_1 u_\rho}) = \tau_{S_1 u_S} \cdot u_\rho, \\ \kappa_2 &= \text{diag}(\tau_{S_2}, \tau_S) = \tau_{S_2 u_S}, \end{aligned}$$

where S_1 and S_2 are contained in the complement S' of S and the $\text{diag}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is relative to the decomposition $X = X_{S'} + X_S$. Here ρ is a nondegenerate symmetric map of V_S onto V_S^* , whose isometry class is that given by the Leray invariant $-q(V^*, V^* \sigma_1, V^* \sigma_2^{-1})$.

Proof. Let $L_1 = V^*$, $L_2 = V^* \sigma_2^{-1}$, $L_3 = V^* \sigma_1$. Let $j_1 = \dim V^* \cap V^* \sigma_1$, $j_2 = \dim V^* \cap V^* \sigma_2$ and $j = \dim V^* \cap V^* \sigma_1 \sigma_2$, $j_0 = \dim V^* \cap V^* \sigma_1 \cap V^* \sigma_2^{-1}$. Choose a partition B_0, \dots, B_4 of $\{1, 3, \dots, n\}$ with $j_0, j - j_0, j_1 - j_0, j_2 - j_0, n + 2j_0 - (j_1 + j_2 + j)$ elements respectively. Let $X_i = X_{B_i}$ and $V_i = V_{B_i}$, $V_i^* = V_{B_i}^*$. Let ρ be a nondegenerate symmetric map of V_4 onto V_4^* . In the decomposition $X = \sum X_i$, let κ_1, κ_2 be defined as follows:

$$\kappa_1 = \text{diag}(I, \tau, I, \tau, \tau u_\rho), \quad \kappa_2 = \text{diag}(I, \tau, \tau, I, \tau)$$

where the diagonal components belong to $\text{Sp}(X_i)$ respectively and τ as a diagonal component is to be understood as τ restricted to the appropriate $\text{Sp}(X_i)$. Let $L'_1 = V^*$, $L'_2 = V^* \kappa_2^{-1}$, $L'_3 = V^* \kappa_1$. Then it is clear that $\dim L_1 \cap L_2 \cap L_3 = \dim L'_1 \cap L'_2 \cap L'_3$ and $\dim L_i \cap L_j = \dim L'_i \cap L'_j$, for all i, j . If we now choose the map ρ such that the isometry class of $\langle x, x \cdot \rho \rangle$ on V_4 is equal to $q(L_1, L_2, L_3)$, then by Theorem 1, there exists $p \in P$, such that

$$V^* \sigma_2^{-1} p = V^* \kappa_2^{-1}, \quad V^* \sigma_1 p = V^* \kappa_1.$$

Thus

$$\sigma_2^{-1} p \kappa_2 \in P, \quad \sigma_1 p \kappa_1^{-1} \in P.$$

If $S_1 = B_1 \cup B_3$, $S_2 = B_1 \cup B_2$ and $S = B_4$, then κ_1, κ_2 have the form stated in the theorem and this completes the proof.

REMARK. From the above theorem it is easy to check that

$$\Omega_{j_1} \Omega_{j_2} = \bigcup_{j \leq j_1 + j_2} \Omega_j.$$

In particular $\Omega_n^2 = \text{Sp}(X)$. Also note $\Omega_j^{-1} = \Omega_j$.

2.7. *An example.* Let $\dim X = 2$ and suppose e_1, e_2 is a symplectic basis, so that $\langle a_1e_1 + a_2e_2, b_1e_1 + b_2e_2 \rangle = a_1b_2 - a_2b_1$. Let $V = k \cdot e_1, V^* = k \cdot e_2$. Now Lagrangian subspaces are just lines in X and we shall get an expression for the Leray invariant of three lines L_1, L_2, L_3 . Since the Leray invariant is trivial when two of them coincide, we assume that they are all distinct. Let $x_j \in L_j$ be non-zero points. Then there exist scalars a, b such that $x_3 = ax_2 + bx_1$. If $\rho: L_2 \rightarrow L_1$, defined as $\rho: tx_2 \rightarrow tbx_1$, then $L_3 = \{x + x\rho : x \in L_2\}$. Thus the associated quadratic form $t \rightarrow \langle tx_2, tx_2 \cdot \rho \rangle = t^2b \langle x_2, x_1 \rangle$. One gets from this that $q(L_1, L_2, L_3)$ is the isometry class of the form $\langle \rho^2 \rangle$ where

$$\rho = -\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \langle x_2, x_3 \rangle \langle x_3, x_1 \rangle (k^x)^2.$$

Now suppose $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \text{Sp}(X, k)$ and

$$\sigma_j = \begin{bmatrix} a_j & b_j \\ c_j & d_j \end{bmatrix}$$

for $j = 1, 2$. Then the above calculation leads to the following result:

$$q(V^*, V^*\sigma_2^{-1}, V^*\sigma_1) = \begin{cases} \text{trivial} & \text{if } \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \text{ or } \sigma_1\sigma_2 \in P, \\ c_1c_2(c_1a_2 + d_1c_2) \cdot (k^x)^2 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

here one has identified the nontrivial isometry classes with $k^x/(k^x)^2$.

3. The standard form for the Segal-Shale-Weil representation.

3.1. Throughout the rest of the paper we assume that (1) F is a self-dual locally compact field, i.e. F is either a finite field or a nondiscrete locally compact field, (2) $\text{char } F \neq 2$. It should be noted that in §2, the field F was allowed to have characteristic 2. It is possible to generalize the results of this section also to the case of $\text{char } k = 2$, although the representation obtained is not of the symplectic group, but of the pseudosymplectic group. For simplicity of presentation we shall assume however that $\text{char } F \neq 2$.

We fix the following notation: X is a symplectic vector space over F, V, V^* two transversal Lagrangian subspaces of X, e_1, \dots, e_n^* is a symplectic basis of X such that $e_1, \dots, e_n (e_1^*, \dots, e_n^*)$ is a basis of $V (V^*)$; χ is a nontrivial continuous unitary character of the additive group of F ; d_F^+x denotes the Haar measure of the additive group of F which is self-dual relative to the pairing $a, b \rightarrow \chi(ab)$ of F with itself. Let V_S, V_S^* denote the subspaces spanned by $\{e_j, j \in S\}$ and $\{e_j^*, j \in S\}$ respectively. Here S is an arbitrary subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then V_S, V_S^* are locally compact groups which

may be considered as character groups of each other, via the pairing $v, v^* \rightarrow \chi(\langle v, v^* \rangle)$. Let $d_{V_S}, d_{V_S^*}$ denote the Haar measure on V_S, V_S^* respectively defined as the product Haar measures. For instance

$$\int_{V_S} f(v) d_{V_S}v = \int f \left(\sum_{j \in S} x_j e_j \right) \prod_{j \in S} d_F^+x_j.$$

Then the Haar measures d_{V_S} and $d_{V_S^*}$ are dual to each other relative to the pairing χ introduced above. Let $\mathcal{S}(V), \mathcal{S}(V_S)$ etc. denote the space of Bruhat-Schwartz functions on V, V_S etc. Then it is known and easy to check that $\mathcal{S}(V_{S_1}) \otimes \mathcal{S}(V_{S_2}) = \mathcal{S}(V_{S_1 \cup S_2})$ if $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$ (see for instance Bruhat [2]). The Fourier transforms $\mathcal{F}_S: \mathcal{S}(V_S) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(V_S^*), \mathcal{F}_S^*: \mathcal{S}(V_S^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(V_S)$ are defined as:

$$\mathcal{F}_S \phi: x^* \mapsto \int_{V_S} \chi(\langle x, x^* \rangle) \phi(x) d_{V_S}x,$$

$$\mathcal{F}_S^* \psi: x \rightarrow \int_{V_S^*} \chi(\langle x, x^* \rangle) \psi(x^*) d_{V_S^*}x^*$$

for all $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(V_S), \psi \in \mathcal{S}(V_S^*)$. The Haar measures $d_{V_S}, d_{V_S^*}$ being dual to each other is equivalent to saying that $\mathcal{F}_S^* \mathcal{F}_S \phi = \phi^\circ, \mathcal{F}_S \mathcal{F}_S^* \psi = \psi^\circ$ where $\phi^\circ(x) = \phi(-x), \psi^\circ$ being defined similarly.

3.2. We next recall the definition of the projective representation of symplectic groups known as the Segal-Shale-Weil representation.¹ (The basic references are Weil [16], Shale [15], Segal [13]; see Mackey [10], for a historical survey and also Gelbart [4], for further references.) Let $U = U_{V, V^*}$ denote the projective unitary representation of X in $L^2(V)$ defined as follows:

$$(3.1) \quad U(v + v^*)\phi: x \mapsto \chi(\langle x, v^* \rangle) \phi(x + v).$$

If $w_j = v_j + v_j^* \in X, j = 1, 2$, then

$$(3.2) \quad U(w_1)U(w_2) = \chi(\langle v_1, v_2^* \rangle) U(w_1 + w_2).$$

Let $H = H(V, V^*, \chi)$ denote the Heisenberg group defined as $H = X \times T$ with group law

$$(3.3) \quad (w_1, t_1) \circ (w_2, t_2) = (w_1 + w_2, t_1 t_2 \chi(\langle v_1, v_2^* \rangle)).$$

If

$$(3.4) \quad \tilde{U}(w, t) = tU(w)$$

¹It is also referred to in the literature as the Weil representation, or Shale-Weil representation, the oscillator representation or the metaplectic representation. We follow Weil's paper rather closely.

then U is an irreducible, unitary representation of H with central character χ . According to Stone-von Neumann there is only one such unitary representation of H up to unitary equivalence. Now let $\text{Ps}(H)$ denote the pseudosymplectic group of H , i.e. the set of all continuous automorphisms of H which leave the center element-wise fixed. Let $\tilde{U}^s: (w, t) \rightarrow \tilde{U}((w, t) \cdot s)$, $s \in \text{Ps}(H)$. Then it follows from the Stone-von Neumann Theorem that there exists a unitary operator ξ_s , such that

$$(3.5) \quad \xi_s^{-1} \circ \tilde{U} \circ \xi_s = \tilde{U}^s.$$

The operators ξ_s satisfying (3.5) are unique up to a scalar multiple. Thus any choice $s \rightarrow \xi_s$ of such operators gives rise to a Weil (projective) representation of the group $\text{Ps}(H)$. Let $s \in \text{Ps}(H)$, and suppose $(w, 1)s = (w \cdot \sigma, f(w))$; then (σ, f) parametrize $\text{Ps}(H)$ and are characterized by the properties

- (i) σ is a continuous automorphism of the additive group of X , which leaves the form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ invariant;
- (ii) $f: X \rightarrow T$ is a continuous map, such that

$$f(w_1 + w_2)\{f(w_1)\}^{-1}\{f(w_2)\}^{-1} = \chi(\langle v_{1\sigma}, v_{2\sigma}^* \rangle)\{\chi(\langle v_1, v_2^* \rangle)\}^{-1}$$

for all $w_j = v_j + v_j^* \in X$, where $w_j \cdot \sigma = v_{j\sigma} + v_{j\sigma}^*$, $j = 1, 2$.

The subgroup

$$\text{Ps}(H, F) = \{(\sigma, f) \in \text{Ps}(H) | \sigma \text{ is } F\text{-linear}\}$$

is called the linear pseudosymplectic group. When $F = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{Q}_p it coincides with $\text{Ps}(H)$ and is smaller for all other F . If X^* denotes the character group of X , then the subgroup $\{(1, f) \in \text{Ps}(H)\}$ may be identified with X^* and the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X^* \rightarrow \text{Ps}(H, F) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(X) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact (see [16], p. 150). Moreover since we have assumed that $\text{char } F \neq 2$, the exact sequence splits. In fact when $\text{char } F \neq 2$, the following lemma gives a splitting homomorphism of $\text{Sp}(X)$ into $\text{Ps}(H, F)$ (see Weil [16], p. 150).

LEMMA 3.1. Assume $\text{char } F \neq 2$. Let $\sigma \in \text{Sp}(X)$ have the matrix representation

$$(3.6) \quad \sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{bmatrix}$$

in the decomposition $X = V + V^*$. Let

$$q_\sigma(v + v^*) = \frac{1}{2}\langle v\alpha, v\beta \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle v^*\gamma, v^*\delta \rangle + \langle v^*\gamma, v\beta \rangle, \\ f_\sigma(v + v^*) = \chi(q_\sigma(v + v^*)).$$

Then $\sigma \rightarrow (\sigma, f_\sigma)$ is a homomorphism of $\text{Sp}(X)$ into $\text{Ps}(H, F)$. In particular a mapping $\sigma \rightarrow \xi_\sigma$ of $\text{Sp}(X)$ into unitary operators in $L^2(V)$ is a Weil (projective) representation if

$$(3.7) \quad \xi_\sigma^{-1} U(v + v^*) \xi_\sigma = f_\sigma(v + v^*) U((v + v^*) \cdot \sigma)$$

for all $v + v^* \in X$.

3.3. Our next object is to present an explicit integral formula for the operators ξ_σ satisfying (3.6). This is essentially known and in fact Weil himself has given this when the matrix entry γ of σ in (3.6) is either an isomorphism or 0. However for our purposes it is important to do it for all σ . After this work was done, the author came across the paper of Lions [9], where a related formula for intertwining operators of unitary representations of a real nilpotent group is given. We shall present a proof based on Bruhat decomposition of $\text{Sp}(X)$ (see Lemma 2.14) and it would appear that this was the way Weil derived his general formula also. For the meaning of the symbols $P = P_{V^*}$, $N = N_{V^*}$, τ, τ_S etc. see §2.5.

LEMMA 3.2. (1) Let $p \in P$. Then the operator ξ_σ satisfying (3.6) is a scalar multiple of $r(p)$, where

$$(3.8) \quad r(p)\varphi: x \rightarrow |\alpha|^{1/2} f_p(x)\varphi(x\alpha).$$

(2) Let S be an arbitrary subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$; the operator ξ_σ , for $\sigma = \tau_S$, is a scalar multiple of $r(\tau_S)$, where $r(\tau_S)$ is the partial Fourier transform

$$(3.9) \quad r(\tau_S)\varphi: x \rightarrow \int_{V_S} \chi(\langle y, x_S \tau \rangle) \varphi(x_{S'} + y) d_{V_S} y$$

where S' is the complement of S in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $x = x_S + x_{S'}$ in the decomposition $V = V_S + V_{S'}$.

(3) For a general $\sigma \in \text{Sp}(X)$, let $M_\sigma = V^* / \ker \gamma$, and μ_σ denote a Haar measure on M_σ . Let

$$T_\sigma \varphi: x \rightarrow \int_{M_\sigma} f_\sigma(x + x^*) \varphi(x\alpha + x^*\gamma) \mu_\sigma(d\bar{x}^*)$$

where \bar{x}^* is the coset $x^* + \ker \gamma$. Then for $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(V)$, the integral is absolutely convergent and $T_\sigma \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(V)$. Moreover T_σ satisfies the identity

$$T_\sigma U(v + v^*)\varphi = f_\sigma(v + v^*)U((v + v^*)\sigma)T_\sigma \varphi$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(V)$. In particular for a suitable choice of Haar measures μ_σ , the map $\sigma \rightarrow T_\sigma$ is a Weil (projective) representation.

Proof. The statement (i) and (2) are straightforward to verify. In fact (i) and (ii) when $\tau_S = \tau$ is already present in [16]. For a general subset S , note that $X = X_S + X_{S'}$ and $\tau_S = \text{diag}(\tau, I)$ and the formula follows from that for τ . From Bruhat decomposition Lemma 2.14 it follows that operators satisfying the identity (3.6) will leave the Schwartz space invariant.

To prove (3), note the integrand is a well-defined function of the coset $x^* + \ker \gamma$. For this one has only to check $f_\sigma(x + x^* + z^*) = f_\sigma(x + x^*)$ if $z^* \in \ker \gamma$. In fact this is clear from the formula for f_σ given in Lemma 3.1. Since γ is F -linear, the image of γ is a linear subspace E_σ of V and is thus isomorphic to M_σ . From this we have the estimate

$$|T_\sigma \varphi \cdot(x)| \leq \int_{E_\sigma} |\varphi(x + y)| d_{E_\sigma} y$$

where $d_{E_\sigma} y$ is a Haar measure on E_σ . Thus $T_\sigma \varphi$ is a continuous function of x . The verification of the identity (3.7) is straightforward and so is omitted. If therefore $A_\sigma = T_\sigma \xi_\sigma^{-1}$, where ξ_σ is a unitary operator leaving the space $\mathcal{S}(V)$ invariant and satisfying (3.7), then $A_\sigma: \mathcal{S}(V) \rightarrow C(V)$ is a linear operator which commutes with U . From standard arguments it now follows that A_σ is a scalar. This completes the proof. \square

LEMMA 3.3. *With the notation of the above lemma, we have*

(1) *If $p \in P$, then $M_p = \{0\}$ and $T_p = r(p)$, provided $\mu_p\{0\} = |\text{deg}(p|V^*)|^{-1/2}$.*

(2) *$M_{\sigma p} = M_\sigma$, and $T_{\sigma p} = T_\sigma r(p)$ if*

$$\mu_{\sigma p} = |\text{deg}(p|V^*)|^{-1/2} \mu_\sigma.$$

(3) *The map $x^* \rightarrow x^*p$ of V^* onto V^* factors down to a map $\bar{x}^* \rightarrow \bar{x}^*\bar{p}$ of $M_{p\sigma}$ onto M_σ . Then $T_{p\sigma} = r(p)T_\sigma$ if and only if²*

$$\mu_{p\sigma} = |\text{deg}(p|V^*)|^{-1/2} \bar{p} \cdot \mu_\sigma.$$

²Here for a measure ν on $M_{p\sigma}$, $(\bar{p})^{-1} \cdot \nu$ is the measure on M_σ , defined as the linear form $\varphi \rightarrow \nu(\varphi \circ \bar{p})$ for functions φ on M_σ .

Proof. (i) This follows from $r(p)\varphi \cdot(0) = |\text{deg}(p|V^*)|^{-1/2}\varphi(0)$ while $T_p\varphi \cdot(0) = \mu_p\{0\}\varphi(0)$.

(ii) Note $\ker \gamma(\sigma) = V^* \cap V^*\sigma^{-1}$. Thus $\ker \gamma(\sigma p) = \ker \gamma(\sigma)$. Thus $M_{\sigma p} = M_\sigma$. On the one hand

$$T_\sigma r(p)\varphi \cdot(0) = |\text{deg}(p|V^*)|^{-1/2} \int_{M_\sigma} f_\sigma(x^*)f_p(x^*\gamma)\varphi(x^*\gamma p_{11})\mu_\sigma(d\bar{x}^*)$$

where

$$p \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} \\ 0 & p_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

is the matrix of p . Next

$$T_{\sigma p}\varphi \cdot(0) = \int_{M_{\sigma p}} f_{\sigma p}(x^*)\varphi(x^*\gamma p_{11})\mu_{\sigma p}(d\bar{x}^*).$$

Now

$$f_{\sigma p}(x^*) = f_\sigma(x^*)f_p(x^*\gamma)$$

since $\sigma \rightarrow (\sigma, f_\sigma)$ is a homomorphism. From this the result follows.

(iii) One checks directly from the matrix representation (3.6) of σ , that $\gamma(p\sigma) = p\gamma(\sigma)$. Thus the map $x^* \rightarrow x^* \cdot p$ takes $\ker \gamma(p\sigma)$ to $\ker \gamma(\sigma)$. Thus the map

$$\bar{p}: x^* + \ker \gamma(p\sigma) \rightarrow x^* \cdot p + \ker \gamma(\sigma)$$

maps $M_{\sigma p}$ onto M_σ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} r(p)T_\sigma \varphi \cdot(0) &= |\text{deg}(p|V^*)|^{-1/2} T_\sigma \varphi \cdot(0) \\ &= |\text{deg}(p|V^*)|^{-1/2} \int_{M_\sigma} f_\sigma(x^*)\varphi(x^*\gamma)\mu_\sigma(d\bar{x}^*). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$T_{p\sigma}\varphi \cdot(0) = \int_{M_{p\sigma}} f_{p\sigma}(x^*)\varphi(x^*p\gamma)\mu_{p\sigma}(d\bar{x}^*).$$

From the homomorphism property of $\sigma \rightarrow (\sigma, f_\sigma)$ we have

$$f_{p\sigma}(x^*) = f_p(x^*)f_\sigma(x^*p) = f_\sigma(x^*p).$$

Thus

$$T_{p\sigma}\varphi \cdot(0) = \int_{M_{p\sigma}} f_\sigma(x^*p)\varphi(x^*p\gamma)\mu_{p\sigma}(d\bar{x}^*).$$

Comparing the formulas for $T_{p\sigma}\varphi \cdot(0)$ and $T_p T_\sigma \varphi \cdot(0)$ one gets

$$|\text{deg}(p|V^*)|^{-1/2} \int_{M_\sigma} \psi(\bar{x})\mu_\sigma(d\bar{x}) = \int_{M_{p\sigma}} \psi(\bar{y} \cdot \bar{p})\mu_{p\sigma}(d\bar{y}).$$

This completes the proof. \square

The following lemma makes it possible to make consistent choices of μ_σ .

LEMMA 3.4. Let $\sigma \in \text{Sp}(X)$ be arbitrary. Suppose $p_1, p_2 \in P$ are such that $p_1\sigma p_2 = \sigma$. Then \bar{p}_1 maps M_σ onto itself and

$$\{\det(\bar{p}_1|M_\sigma)\}^2 = \det(p_1 p_2|V^*).$$

Proof. Our first step is the observation that if the lemma is true for σ it is also true for $\sigma' \in P\sigma P$. In fact suppose $\sigma' = m_1\sigma m_2$ and suppose $p'_1\sigma'p'_2 = \sigma'$. Then $p_1\sigma p_2 = \sigma$ where $p_1 = m_1^{-1}p'_1m_1$, $p_2 = m_2p'_2m_2^{-1}$. On the one hand since the lemma is true for σ ,

$$\{\det(\bar{p}_1|M)\}^2 = \deg(p_1 p_2|V^*) = \det(p'_1 p'_2|V^*).$$

On the other hand we have the commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & M_{\sigma'} & \xrightarrow{\bar{p}'_1} & M_{\sigma'} \\ & \left| m_1 \right. & & \left. \right| m_1 \\ M_\sigma & \xrightarrow{\bar{p}_1} & M_\sigma & \end{array}$$

where the map of $M_{\sigma'}$ to M_σ is the map $x^* + V^* \cap V^* \sigma'^{-1} \rightarrow x^* m_1 + V^* \cap V^* \sigma^{-1}$. From this it follows that

$$\det(\bar{p}_1|M_\sigma) = \det(\bar{p}'_1|M_{\sigma'})$$

so that the lemma is valid for σ' . To prove the lemma it is thus sufficient to prove it when $\sigma = \tau_S$ for some S . Now $V^* \tau_S = V_S + V_{S'}^*$, S' being the complement of S . Note $V^* \tau_S = V^* \tau_{S'}^{-1}$. Let $P_0 = P \cap P_{V^* \sigma}$. If $p_1 \tau_S p_2 = \tau_S$, then $p_1, p_2 \in P_0$. Now the matrix for τ_S in the decomposition

$$X = V_{S'} + V_S + V_S^* + V_{S'}^*$$

is

$$\tau_S = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \tau & 0 \\ 0 & \tau & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here we have simply written τ , rather than $(\tau|V_S)$, $(\tau|V_{S'}^*)$ etc. If $p, p' \in P_0$, then they leave the flag $X \supset V_S + V^* \supset V^* \supset V_{S'}^*$ invariant, so that their matrices in the above decomposition are upper triangular.

Thus if $p \cdot \tau_S = \tau_S \cdot p'$, and suppose $p = (a_{ij})$, $p' = (b_{ij})$, then both the matrices are upper triangular. The equation $p \tau_S = \tau_S p'$ gives

$$a_{11} = b_{11}, \quad -a_{22}\tau = \tau b_{33}, \quad -a_{33}\tau = \tau b_{22}, \quad a_{44} = b_{44}.$$

Now

$$\det(p|V^*)\{\det(p'|V^*)\}^{-1} = \det a_{33} \det a_{44} \det b_{11} \det b_{22}.$$

From the symplectic property of p, p' we have $b_{11}(b_{44}) = \text{id}$; thus $\det b_{44} \det b_{11} = 1$, while $\det b_{22} = \det a_{33}$, since $\tau^{-1} a_{33} \tau = b_{22}$. Thus we have

$$\det(p|V^*)\{\det(p'|V^*)\}^{-1} = (\det a_{33})^2.$$

Now $V^* \cap V^* \tau_S = V_{S'}^*$. From this it follows that

$$\det(\bar{p}|V_{S'}^*) = (\det a_{33}).$$

This completes the proof.

The following is the main theorem of this section.

THEOREM 3.5. There exists a unique choice of unitary operators $r(\sigma)$, $\sigma \in \text{Sp}(X)$ with the following properties:

- (1) $r(\sigma)^{-1}U(w)r(\sigma) = f_\sigma(w)U(w \cdot \sigma)$ for all $w \in X$, or $\sigma \rightarrow r(\sigma)$ is a Weil (projective) representation
- (2) $r(p)\varphi: x \rightarrow |\alpha|^{1/2} f_p(x)\varphi(x\alpha)$, when $p \in P$.
- (3) $r(p_1\sigma p_2) = r(p_1)r(\sigma)r(p_2)$ for all $p_1, p_2 \in P$ and σ arbitrary.
- (4) $r(\sigma_1\sigma_2) = r(\sigma_1)r(\sigma_2)$ whenever $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in W$ or $r|W$ is a representation of the finite group W .
- (5) $r(\sigma)\psi \cdot (0) \geq 0$ for all $\sigma \in W$, when ψ is of the form $\varphi * \varphi^\sim$, where $\varphi^\sim = \varphi(-x)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(V)$. Here $*$ denotes the convolution operation.

If $\sigma \rightarrow \xi(\sigma)$ is a choice of unitary operators having properties (1), (3) and (4), then there exists a character η of $F^x/(F^x)^2$ and a complex number ε such that $\eta(-1) = \varepsilon^2$ and for $\sigma \in \Omega_j$,

$$(3.10) \quad \xi(\sigma) = \eta(x(\sigma))e^j r(\sigma),$$

where $x(\sigma)$ is defined by $x(p_1 \tau_S p_2) = \det(p_1 p_2|V^*)$ (see Lemma 5.1) and Ω_j is the P -double coset defined in Lemma 2.14.

Proof. We use the notation of the lemmas and our first observation is that if the Haar measure μ_σ was chosen, then $\mu_{p_1\sigma p_2}$ can be defined as follows:

$$(3.11) \quad \mu_{p_1\sigma p_2} = |\det(p_1 p_2|V^*)|^{-1/2} \bar{p}_1 \cdot \mu_\sigma.$$

To see this gives a consistent definition suppose $p_1\sigma p_2 = p'_1\sigma p'_2$. Then $p\sigma p' = \sigma$ where $p = p_1^{-1}p_1$, $p' = p_2 p'_2^{-1}$. Now we have to show that

$$|\det(p_1 p_2|V^*)|^{-1/2} \bar{p}_1 \cdot \mu_\sigma = |\det(p'_1 p'_2|V^*)|^{-1/2} \bar{p}'_1 \cdot \mu_\sigma$$

or

$$(\bar{p}) \cdot \mu_\sigma = |\det(pp'|V^*)|^{1/2} \mu_\sigma.$$

Now \bar{p} leaves M_σ invariant and so

$$\bar{p} \cdot \mu_\sigma = |\det(\bar{p}|M_\sigma)| \mu_\sigma.$$

The consistency Lemma 3.4 now shows that $\mu_{p_1 \sigma p_2}$ is well defined by (3.10). It is thus sufficient to choose μ_σ for each double coset of P . Let

$$\mu_I = \delta_0, \quad \mu_{\tau_S} = d_{V_S^*},$$

where we have the isomorphism of V_S^* with V^*/V_{S^*} , to identify M_{τ_S} with V_S^* . The Haar measures $d_{V_S^*}$ were defined in 3.1. With this choice of Haar measures μ_σ , define

$$(3.12) \quad r(\sigma)\varphi: x \rightarrow \int_{M_\sigma} f_\sigma(x+x^*)\varphi(x \cdot \alpha + x^*y)\mu_\sigma(d\bar{x}^*).$$

Then from Lemma 3.3 it is clear that the properties (1), (2) and (3) hold. It is clear that $r(\tau_S)$ is the partial Fourier transform given by formula (3.9). Since the Fourier transform operator on V is the tensor product of those corresponding to V_1, V_2 , when $V = V_1 + V_2$ it is easy to see that $r(\tau_{S_1 \cup S_2}) = r(\tau_{S_1})r(\tau_{S_2})$ when S_1 and S_2 are disjoint. On the other hand since the Haar measures $d_{V_S}, d_{V_S^*}$ are dual to each other, it follows that

$$r(\tau_S)^2 = r(a_S)$$

where $\tau_S^2 = a_S \in P$. These two properties now imply (see Lemma 2.13 on the structure of W) that $r(\sigma_1 \sigma_2) = r(\sigma_1)r(\sigma_2)$ for all $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in W$. To verify the property (5), note

$$r(a_S \tau_S)\psi \cdot (0) = r(a_S)r(\tau_S)\psi \cdot (0) = r(\tau_S)\psi \cdot (0)$$

and so it is sufficient to verify for τ_S . Let $\varphi_1 \in \mathcal{S}(V_{S_1}), \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{S}(V_{S_2})$ and $\varphi = \varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2$. If $\psi = \varphi^* \varphi^\sim$ then

$$r(\tau_S)\psi \cdot (0) = |\mathcal{F}_S \varphi_1|^2 \cdot (0) \cdot \int_{V_{S_2}} |\varphi_2(y)|^2 d_{V_S} y.$$

Thus we have shown $r(\sigma)$ has all the properties (1) to (5). To prove uniqueness suppose $\sigma \rightarrow \xi(\sigma)$ is a choice of unitary operators satisfying (1), (3) and (4). Let the constants $c(\sigma)$ be defined by $\xi(\sigma) = c(\sigma)r(\sigma)$. Then $c(p_1 \sigma p_2) = c(p_1)c(\sigma)c(p_2)$ for all $p_1, p_2 \in P$ and $c(\sigma_1 \sigma_2) = c(\sigma_1)c(\sigma_2)$ for all $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in W$. In particular c is a character of P . Since $\text{char } F \neq 2$, it is not difficult to show that the commutator subgroup $[P, P]$ of P is $= \{\sigma \in P | \det(p|V^*) = 1\}$.

Thus there exists a character η of the multiplicative group F^x , such that $c(p) = \eta(\det(p|V^*))$, for all $p \in P$. If S_1, S_2 are two subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ having the same number of elements, then there exists a permutation α of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $\alpha(S_1) = S_2$. If $p \in P$ is defined as $e_i \cdot p = e_{\alpha(i)}, e_i^* \cdot p = e_{\alpha(i)}^*$, then $\tau_{S_2} = p^{-1} \tau_{S_1} p$. Thus $c(\tau_{S_1}) = c(\tau_{S_2})$, whenever S_1, S_2 have the same number of elements. Since $c(\cdot)$ on W is a character of W , it follows that $c(\tau_S) = \varepsilon^j$, where $j = |S|$. Thus $\varepsilon^{2j} = c(\tau_S^2) = \eta(\det(\tau_S^2|V^*)) = \eta((-1)^j)$, $j = |S|$. From this it follows that $\varepsilon^2 = \eta(-1)$. Suppose $p_1 \tau_S p_2 = \tau_S$; then $c(p_1)c(p_2) = 1$ or $\eta(\det(p_1 p_2|V^*)) = 1$. From Lemma 3.5, it follows that $\eta(a^2) = 1$, where $a = \det(\bar{p}_1|M_{\tau_S})$. By choosing p_1 to be a suitable diagonal matrix, it follows that $\eta(a^2) = 1$ for all $a \in F^x$. This proves the formula (3.10). Conversely if $\xi(\sigma)$ is defined by (3.10), it is clear that ξ has properties (1), (3) and (4), since all the above arguments are reversible. To prove uniqueness of $r(\cdot)$, suppose $\xi(\cdot)$ has all the properties (1) to (5). Then since ξ is a homomorphism on P , η is a trivial character of F^x . Thus $\varepsilon^2 = 1$ and $\xi(\sigma) = \varepsilon^j r(\sigma)$, for $\sigma \in \Omega_j$. Now the non-negativity condition (5) implies that $\varepsilon = 1$. This completes the proof.

DEFINITION 3.6. The map $\sigma \rightarrow r(\sigma)$ is called the standard Segal-Shale-Weil (projective) representation. Note its construction depends only on the character χ of F and the symplectic basis e_1, \dots, e_n^* of X . Note that $r(\sigma)$, for $\sigma \in W$ is given by the partial Fourier transform formula (3.9).

Good behaviour of the Weil representation for direct sums of symplectic vector spaces has been noted from the beginning. In terms of the standard model $\sigma \rightarrow r(\sigma)$, this can be formalized as follows.

PROPOSITION 3.7. For any arbitrary subset S of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ let $r_S(\cdot)$ denote the standard Weil representation of $\text{Sp}(X)$ corresponding to the data $X_S = V_S + V_S^*$, the symplectic basis being $\{e_j, e_j^*, j \in S\}$. Let S_1, \dots, S_m be a partition of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and let $\sigma_j \in \text{Sp}(X)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. If

$$\sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m) \in \text{Sp}(X)$$

then

$$r(\sigma) = r_{S_1}(\sigma_1) \otimes \dots \otimes r_{S_m}(\sigma_m).$$

Proof. Let W_j denote the finite subgroup of $\text{Sp}(X)$ introduced in §2.5 for the symplectic basis $\{e_j, e_j^* : j \in S_j\}$ of X_{S_j} . then it is easy

to see that

$$W = \{\text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m) \mid \sigma_j \in W_j \text{ for all } j\}.$$

From the definition of Fourier transform it is clear that the statement of the proposition is true when $\sigma \in W$ and $\sigma_j \in W_j$ for all j . It is clear that the proposition is valid when $\sigma_j \in P_{V_{S_j}}$, for all j . The general case now follows from properties (3) and (4) of Theorem 3.5, valid for each of the $r_S(\cdot)$.

4. Calculation of the multiplier. For the standard Weil representation $\sigma \rightarrow r(\sigma)$ introduced earlier, let $c(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ denote the multiplier, i.e. $c(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is a complex number of absolute value one, defined by the relation

$$(4.1) \quad r(\sigma_1)r(\sigma_2) = c(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)r(\sigma_1\sigma_2).$$

The following theorem gives an explicit formula for the multiplier in terms of the Leray invariant constructed in §2. The reader should note that the crucial computation (the part (4) in Theorem 4.1 below) is carried out already in Weil ([16], see Theorem 3, p. 163). For the definition and various properties of the Weil index of the character of second degree see the Appendix.

THEOREM 4.1. *The multiplier $c(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ can be explicitly computed from the following properties:*

(1) $c(p_1\sigma_1p, p^{-1}\sigma_2p_2) = c(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ for all $p, p_1, p_2 \in P$ and σ_1, σ_2 arbitrary.

(2) $c(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = 1$ if $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in W$.

(3) If S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m is a partition of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $c_S(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the multiplier of the standard Weil representation r_S , then

$$c(\sigma, \sigma') = \prod c_{S_j}(\sigma_j, \sigma'_j)$$

where $\sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m)$, $\sigma' = \text{diag}(\sigma'_1, \dots, \sigma'_m)$.

(4) $c(\tau u_\rho, \tau) = \text{Weil index of } \chi(\frac{1}{2}(x, x \cdot \rho)) = \gamma(f_u)$.

(5) In general for any $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \text{Sp}(X)$,

$$c(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \text{Weil index of } \chi(\frac{1}{2}(x, x \cdot \rho))$$

where the isometry class of ρ is given by the Leray invariant $q(V^*, V^*\sigma_2^{-1}, V^*\sigma_1)$.

Proof. The property $r(p_1\sigma_1p_2) = r(p_1)r(\sigma_1)r(p_2)$ gives $c(p_1\sigma_1, \sigma_2p_2) = c(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ and $c(\sigma_1p, p^{-1}\sigma_2) = c(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$. This proves (1). Since

$r(\cdot)$ restricted to W is a representation (see Theorem 3.6 for the defining properties of $r(\cdot)$), it follows that $c(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = 1$ for all $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in W$. The statement (3) follows from the tensor product property of $r(\cdot)$ stated in Proposition 3.8. The computation of $c(\tau u_\rho, \tau)$ is carried out in Weil (Theorem 3, p. 164). Actually since the formulas for $r(\tau)$, $r(\tau u_\rho)$ and $r(\tau u_\rho \tau)$ are explicitly known the identity $r(\tau)r(u_\rho)r(\tau) = c(\tau u_\rho, \tau)r(\tau u_\rho \tau)$ leads quickly to a Fourier transform relation—which is the defining property of the Weil index. (See the Appendix, Theorem A.1.) Now suppose σ_1, σ_2 are arbitrary. Then by Theorem 2, $\sigma_1 = p_1\kappa_1p^{-1}$, $\sigma_2 = p\kappa_2p_2$ where

$$\kappa_1 = \text{diag}(\tau_{S_1}, \tau_{S_1}u_\rho), \quad \kappa_2 = \text{diag}(\tau_{S_2}, \tau_{S_2}).$$

Here the decomposition of X is $= X_{S'} + X_S$, S' being the complement of S , $S_1 \subset S'$, $S_2 \subset S'$. Also ρ is nondegenerate on V_S and the isometry class of ρ is that of the Leray invariant $q(V^*, V^*\sigma_2^{-1}, V^*\sigma_1)$. It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} c(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) &= c(\kappa_1, \kappa_2) = c_{S'}(\tau_{S_1}, \tau_{S_2})c_S(\tau u_\rho, \tau) \\ &= c_S(\tau u_\rho, \tau) = \text{Weil index of } \chi(\frac{1}{2}(x, x \cdot \rho)). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

COROLLARY 4.2. *For any σ , $c(\sigma, \sigma^{-1}) = 1$.*

Proof. In this case the isometry class $q(V^*, V^*\sigma, V^*\sigma)$ is the trivial class. Thus $c(\sigma, \sigma^{-1}) = 1$ for all σ .

COROLLARY 4.3. *Suppose $\dim X = 2$ and*

$$\sigma_j = \begin{bmatrix} a_j & b_j \\ c_j & d_j \end{bmatrix}, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Then

$$c(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \text{ or } \sigma_1\sigma_2 \in P, \\ \gamma_F(\frac{1}{2}c_1c_2(c_1a_2 + d_1c_2)\chi) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here we have used the notation from the appendix, $\gamma_F(\eta)$ denoting the Weil index of $x \rightarrow \eta(x^2)$.

Proof. The formula for $c(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ follows from the calculation of the Leray invariant given in §2.6. The reader may note there are a large number of papers on the Weil representation of $\text{SL}(2, F)$ —see Gelbart [4] and also the references cited there.

5. Normalization. It is known that the projective representation $r(\sigma)$ defines an ordinary representation for the two-fold cover of the group $\text{Sp}(X)$. This is equivalent to the statement that one can find normalizing constants $m(\sigma)$, so that the multiplier for $r^\sim(\sigma) = m(\sigma)r(\sigma)$ is ± 1 valued. The object of this section is to construct such a normalization and also compute the multiplier explicitly. The reader may note that previously the existence of such a representation was deduced by an indirect argument (see Weil [16], §§42-43). An explicit formula for the multiplier given here agrees with that given by Kubota for the case $\text{SL}(2, F)$. We begin with some preparation.

LEMMA 5.1. *There exists a unique map $\sigma \rightarrow x(\sigma)$ of $\text{Sp}(X)$ into $F^x/(F^x)^2$ such that the following properties hold:*

- (i) $x(p_1\sigma p_2) = x(p_1)x(\sigma)x(p_2)$, for all $p_1, p_2 \in P$.
- (ii) $x(\tau_S) = 1$ for all subsets $S \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.
- (iii) $x(p) = \det(p|V^*)(F^x)^2$, for all $p \in P$.

Moreover such a function is uniquely defined by

$$x(p_1\tau_S p_2) = \det(p_1 p_2 | V^*)(F^x)^2.$$

Proof. It is only necessary to show that if S_1, S_2 are two subsets and $p_1\tau_{S_1}p_2 = p'_1\tau_{S_2}p'_2$, then $\det(p_1 p_2 | V^*) = (\det p'_1 p'_2 | V^*)(F^x)^2$. Now τ_{S_1}, τ_{S_2} determine the same double coset if and only if S_1, S_2 have the same number of elements. Then there exists a permutation ξ of the indices $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $\xi(S_1) = S_2$. If a is the element of P defined by $e_i \cdot a = e_{\xi(i)}$, $e_i^* \cdot a = e_{\xi(i)}^*$, then it is easy to check that $\tau_{S_2} = a^{-1} \cdot \tau_{S_1} \cdot a$. Thus $p_1\tau_{S_1}p_2 = p'_1 a^{-1} \cdot \tau_{S_1} \cdot a \cdot p'_2$. The lemma now follows from the consistency of Lemma 3.4.

DEFINITION 5.2. Define the normalizing constants

$$m(\sigma) = \gamma_F(x(\sigma), \frac{1}{2}\chi)^{-1} \{\gamma_F(\frac{1}{2}\chi)\}^{-j}$$

for $\sigma \in \Omega_j = P\tau_S P$, with $j = |S|$. The quantities $\gamma_F(a, \eta), \gamma_F(\eta)$, for $a \in F^x$, and η a character of $(F, +)$ are defined in the Appendix, §A.3.

Now define $r^\sim(\sigma) = m(\sigma)r(\sigma)$ and let $c^\sim(\cdot, \cdot)$ be the corresponding multiplier, i.e.

$$r^\sim(\sigma_1)r^\sim(\sigma_2) = c^\sim(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)r^\sim(\sigma_1\sigma_2).$$

Then it follows that

$$(5.1) \quad c^\sim(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = m(\sigma_1)m(\sigma_2)\{m(\sigma_1\sigma_2)\}^{-1}c(\sigma_1, \sigma_2).$$

THEOREM 5.3. *The normalized projective representation $r^\sim(\cdot)$ is metaplectic, i.e. the corresponding multiplier is ± 1 valued. In fact we have the explicit formula*

$$c^\sim(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = (x(\sigma_1), x(\sigma_2))_F (-x(\sigma_1)x(\sigma_2), x(\sigma_1\sigma_2))_F \times ((-1)^l, \det \rho)_F \{(-1, -1)_F\}^{l(l+1)/2} h_F(\rho)$$

where ρ is the Leray invariant $-q(V^*, V^*\sigma_1, V^*\sigma_2^{-1})$ (see §2.3), $h_F(\rho)$ is the Hasse invariant (see Appendix §A.3) and $2l = j_1 + j_2 - j - \dim \rho$, where $\sigma_1 \in \Omega_{j_1}, \sigma_2 \in \Omega_{j_2}, \sigma_1\sigma_2 \in \Omega_j$.

Proof. First we compute (here $\eta = \frac{1}{2}\chi$)

$$(5.2) \quad m(\sigma_1)m(\sigma_2)\{m(\sigma_1\sigma_2)\}^{-1} = \{\gamma_F(x(\sigma_1), \eta)\gamma_F(x(\sigma_2), \eta)\}^{-1} \gamma_F(x(\sigma_1\sigma_2), \eta) \{\gamma_F(\eta)\}^{j-j_1-j_2}.$$

Now

$$(5.3) \quad \gamma_F(x(\sigma_1), \eta)\gamma_F(x(\sigma_2), \eta) = (x(\sigma_1), x(\sigma_2))_F \gamma_F(x(\sigma_1)x(\sigma_2), \eta).$$

Now from Theorem 2.16 (of §2.5) we have

$$\sigma_1 = p_1\kappa_1 p^{-1}, \quad \sigma_2 = p\kappa_2 p_2$$

where

$$\kappa_1 = \text{diag}(\tau_{S_1}, \tau_S u_\rho), \quad \kappa_2 = \text{diag}(\tau_{S_2}, \tau_S)$$

and $j_1 = |S| + |S_1|, j_2 = |S| + |S_2|, j = |S| + |S_1| + |S_2| - 2|S_1 \cap S_2|$ and $\dim \rho = |S|$. Thus $l = |S_1 \cap S_2|$. From the definition of $x(\cdot)$, it is clear that $x(\kappa_1) = 1, x(\kappa_2) = 1$, and

$$x(\sigma_1) = x(p_1)x(p), \quad x(\sigma_2) = x(p_2)x(p), \\ x(\sigma_1\sigma_2) = x(p_1)x(p_2)x(\kappa_1\kappa_2) = x(\sigma_1)x(\sigma_2)x(\kappa_1\kappa_2).$$

Thus

$$(5.4) \quad \{\gamma_F(x(\sigma_1)x(\sigma_2), \eta)\}^{-1} \gamma_F(x(\sigma_1\sigma_2), \eta) = (-x(\sigma_1)x(\sigma_2), x(\sigma_1\sigma_2)) \{\gamma_F(x(\kappa_1\kappa_2), \eta)\}^{-1}.$$

Next $x(\kappa_1\kappa_2) = x(\tau_{S_1}\tau_{S_2})x(\tau_S u_\rho \tau_S) = (-1)^l \det \rho$. That $\det \rho = x(\tau_S u_\rho \tau_S)$ follows from the decomposition

$$\tau u_\rho \tau = \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & \rho \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -I \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & * \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$$

and similar decompositions when τ is replaced by τ_S . Thus

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \gamma_F(x(gk_1\kappa_2), \eta) &= \gamma_F((-1)^l \det \rho, \eta) \\ &= ((-1)^l, \det \rho) \gamma_F((-1)^l, \eta) \gamma_F(\det \rho, \eta). \end{aligned}$$

Next

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \gamma_F((-1)^l, \eta)^{-1} \gamma_F(\eta)^{2l} &= \gamma_F((-1)^l, \eta)^{-1} \{\gamma_F(-1, \eta)\}^{-l} \\ &= \{(-1, -1)\}^{l(l+1)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used Corollary A.5 of the Appendix. Note that $j - j_1 - j_2 = -\dim \rho + 2l$. Thus we get from (5.2)-(5.6) that

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} m(\sigma_1)m(\sigma_2)\{m(\sigma_1\sigma_2)\}^{-1} \\ &= (x(\sigma_1), x(\sigma_2))(-x(\sigma_1)x(\sigma_2), x(\sigma_1\sigma_2)) \\ &\quad \times ((-1)^l, \det \rho) \{(-1, -1)\}^{l(l+1)/2} \\ &\quad \times \{\gamma_F(\det \rho, \eta)\}^{-1} \{\gamma_F(\eta)\}^{-j'} \end{aligned}$$

where $j' = \dim \rho$. Finally using the formula for $c(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \gamma(f_\rho)$ (see Theorem 3.6) we have

$$(5.8) \quad c(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \{\gamma_F(\det \rho, \eta)\}^{-1} \{\gamma_F(\eta)\}^{-n} = \bar{h}_F(\rho)$$

from the definition of the Hasse invariant (see §A.3 of the Appendix). The theorem now follows from (5.7) and (5.8).

COROLLARY 5.4. For any $\sigma \in \Omega_j$

$$c^\sim(\sigma, \sigma^{-1}) = (x(\sigma), (-1)^j x(\sigma))_F \{(-1, -1)_F\}^{j(j+1)/2}.$$

Proof. Let $\sigma \in \Omega_j$, then $\sigma = p_1 \tau_S p_2$ with $|S| = j$. Then $\sigma^{-1} = p_2^{-1} \tau_S^{-1} p_1^{-1} = p_2^{-1} \tau_S^{-2} \tau_S p_1^{-1}$. Thus

$$x(\sigma^{-1}) = (-1)^j x(\sigma).$$

In this case the Leray invariant is trivial. Thus

$$c^\sim(\sigma, \sigma^{-1}) = (x(\sigma), x(\sigma^{-1}))(-x(\sigma)x(\sigma^{-1}), 1) \times \{(-1, 1)\}^{j(j+1)/2}$$

and this simplifies to the statement of the lemma.

COROLLARY 5.5. (1) $c^\sim(\tau_{S_1}, \tau_{S_2}) = \{(-1, -1)\}^{l(l+1)/2}$ where $l = |S_1 \cap S_2|$.

(2) $c^\sim(p_1, p_2) = (x(p_1), x(p_2))_F$, for all $p_1, p_2 \in P$.

(3)

$$\begin{aligned} c^\sim(p_1\sigma_1, \sigma_2 p_2) \{c^\sim(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\}^{-1} \\ &= (x(p_1), x(\sigma_1))(x(\sigma_2), x(p_2)) \\ &\quad \times \{(x(p_1), x(p_2))(x(p_1 p_2), x(\sigma_1 \sigma_2))\}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$(4) \quad c^\sim(\sigma_1 p^{-1}, p \sigma_2) \{c^\sim(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\}^{-1} = (x(p), -x(\sigma_1)x(\sigma_2)).$$

Proof. Note

$$\begin{aligned} m(p\sigma) &= (x(p), x(\sigma))m(p)m(\sigma), \\ m(\sigma p) &= (x(\sigma), x(\sigma))m(\sigma)m(p), \\ m(p^{-1}) &= m(p) \text{ and } m(p)^2 = (x(p), -1)_F. \end{aligned}$$

The results then follow by straightforward computation from (5.1).

COROLLARY 5.6. For any subset $S \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, let $m_S(\cdot, \cdot)$, $c_S^\sim(\cdot, \cdot)$ be defined analogously for $\text{Sp}(X_S)$. Then if S_1, S_2 is a partition of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} c^\sim(\sigma, \sigma') \{c_{S_1}^\sim(\sigma_1, \sigma'_1) c_{S_2}^\sim(\sigma_2, \sigma'_2)\}^{-1} \\ &= (x_{S_1}(\sigma_1), x_{S_2}(\sigma_2))(x_{S_1}(\sigma'_1), x_{S_2}(\sigma'_2))(x_{S_1}(\sigma_1 \sigma'_1), x_{S_2}(\sigma_2 \sigma'_2)) \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma_j, \sigma'_j \in \text{Sp}(X_{S_j})$ and

$$\sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2), \quad \sigma' = \text{diag}(\sigma'_1, \sigma'_2).$$

Proof. It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} x(\sigma) &= x_{S_1}(\sigma_1)x_{S_2}(\sigma_2), \\ m(\sigma) &= (x_{S_1}(\sigma_1), x_{S_2}(\sigma_2))m_{S_1}(\sigma_1)m_{S_2}(\sigma_2). \end{aligned}$$

The result then follows easily from this and the fact that $c(\sigma, \sigma') = c_{S_1}(\sigma_1, \sigma'_1)c_{S_2}(\sigma_2, \sigma'_2)$ (see Theorem 4.1).

The following corollary is well known.

COROLLARY 5.7. When F is a finite field or the field of complex numbers, $c^\sim(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = 1$ for all σ_1, σ_2 . In particular $r^\sim(\sigma)$ is a representation in this case.

Proof. This follows from the observation that both the Hilbert symbol and the Hasse invariant are equal to 1 in this case.

REMARK. We note here that when $\dim X = 2$, we have

$$c^{\sim}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = (x(\sigma_1), x(\sigma_2))_F (-x(\sigma_1)x(\sigma_2), x(\sigma_1\sigma_2))_F.$$

In fact in this case $n = 1$, so the Hasse invariant is always one and when $\dim \rho \neq 0, l = 0$. Also the definition of $x(\sigma)$ reduces to

$$x: \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{cases} d(F^x)^2 & \text{when } c = 0, \\ c(F^x)^2 & \text{when } c \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus the multiplier agrees with that given by Kubota. In this connection see Kubota [7], or the exposition in Gelbart [4].

Finally we end this with a proposition due to Weil ([16], see §44). The proof given will be based on formula (3.10) of Theorem 3.5 and is different from that of Weil.

PROPOSITION 5.8. *The projective representation $\sigma \rightarrow r(\sigma)$ is equivalent to an ordinary representation (or the cohomology class of the multiplier $c(\cdot, \cdot)$ is trivial) if and only if F is either a finite field or is the field of complex numbers.*

Proof. In view of Corollary 5.5 we have only to show the necessity of the condition. If the representation is equivalent to an ordinary representation there exist constants $c(\sigma)$ such that if $\xi(\sigma) = c(\sigma)r(\sigma)$, then $\xi(\sigma_1\sigma_2) = \xi(\sigma_1)\xi(\sigma_2)$ for all σ_1, σ_2 . From Theorem 3.6, it follows that $c(\sigma) = \eta(x(\sigma))\varepsilon^j$ for $\sigma \in \Omega_j$, where $\varepsilon^2 = \eta(-1)$ and η is a character of $F^x/(F^x)^2$. Since ξ is a homomorphism it follows that

$$\eta(x(\sigma_1))\varepsilon^j \eta(x(\sigma_2))\varepsilon^j c(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \eta(x(\sigma_1\sigma_2))\varepsilon^j$$

where $\sigma_1 \in \Omega_{j_1}, \sigma_2 \in \Omega_{j_2}, \sigma_1\sigma_2 \in \Omega_j$. Here $c(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is the multiplier of $r(\cdot)$. Let $\sigma_1 = \tau_S u_\rho, \sigma_2 = \tau_S$; then it follows that

$$c(\tau_S u_\rho, \tau_S) = \gamma(f_\rho) = \eta(\det \rho)\varepsilon^{-j}$$

where $j = |S|$ (see Theorem 3.6 for $c(\tau_S u_\rho, \tau_S)$). Taking $j = 1$, we get

$$\gamma_F(\frac{1}{2}a\chi) = \varepsilon^{-1}\eta(a).$$

From this it follows that $\gamma_F(a, \frac{1}{2}\chi) = \eta(a)$ for all $a \in F^x$. Since η is a character of F^x , it follows that $(a, b)_F = 1$ for all $a, b \in F^x$. This can happen only if F is either a finite field or, the field of complex numbers.

Appendix. The Weil index of a character of second degree.

A.1. Let G, G^* be locally compact abelian groups and χ a nondegenerate bicharacter pairing of G and G^* . Let $\mathcal{S}(G), \mathcal{S}(G^*)$ denote the Bruhat-Schwartz spaces of functions on G, G^* respectively. Write

$$\mathcal{F}\varphi: x^* \rightarrow \int \chi(x, x^*)\varphi(x) d_G x,$$

$$\mathcal{F}^*\psi: x \rightarrow \int \chi(x, x^*)\psi(x^*) d_{G^*} x^*$$

where d_G, d_{G^*} denote Haar measures on G, G^* respectively, and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(G), \psi \in \mathcal{S}(G^*)$. Then there exists a pairing of the Haar measures, called the Plancherel pairing, such that

$$\mathcal{F}^*\mathcal{F}\varphi = (d_G, d_{G^*})_\chi \cdot \varphi^\circ, \quad \mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}^*\psi = (d_G, d_{G^*})_\chi \cdot \psi^\circ,$$

where $\varphi^\circ(x) = \varphi(-x), \psi^\circ(x^*) = \psi(-x^*)$. The Haar measures d_G, d_{G^*} are said to be dual to each other relative to the pairing χ , if $(d_G, d_{G^*})_\chi = 1$. If u is a tempered distribution on G , i.e. u is a complex valued continuous linear functional on $\mathcal{S}(G)$, then its Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}u$ is a tempered distribution on G^* defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{F}u: \psi \rightarrow u(\mathcal{F}^*\psi).$$

Fourier transforms of tempered distributions on G^* are denoted by \mathcal{F}^* . If f is a function on $G, f d_G$ is the distribution $\varphi \rightarrow \int f\varphi d_G$.

A.2. A character of the second degree f on G is a continuous map of G into T such that $f(x+y)\{f(x)f(y)\}^{-1}$ is a bicharacter in x and y . In particular there exists a continuous homomorphism $\rho = \rho(f)$ of G into G^* such that

$$f(x+y)\{f(x)f(y)\}^{-1} = \chi(x, y \cdot \rho) = \chi(y, x \cdot \rho)$$

for all $x, y \in G$. Then f is said to be nondegenerate if ρ is an isomorphism of G with G^* . For the following theorem see Weil [16] (see also Cartier [3], for another exposition). Note the definition of the Fourier transform depends on the pairing χ .

THEOREM A.1. *Let f be a nondegenerate character of the second degree on G , and let $\rho = \rho(f)$ be the associated symmetric homomorphism. Then there exists a complex constant $\gamma(f)$ of modulus one, such that³*

$$\mathcal{F}(f d_G) = \gamma(f)\{(d_G, \rho \cdot d_G)_\chi\}^{-1/2} f' d_{G^*}$$

³Here $\rho \cdot d_G$ is the measure on G^* defined by the identity $\rho \cdot d_G(\varphi \circ \rho) = d_G(\varphi)$, for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(G)$.

where f' is a character of second degree on G^* defined by the formula $f'(x^*) = \{f(x^* \rho^{-1})\}^{-1}$. The constant $\gamma(f)$ is independent of the pairing χ or the Haar measures used in its definition. We call $\gamma(f)$ the Weil index of f .

The next theorem summarizes elementary properties of the Weil index. They are deduced easily from the definition.

THEOREM A.2. (1) $\gamma(f \circ \alpha) = \gamma(f)$, for any continuous automorphism α of G .

(2) $\gamma(\bar{f}) = \overline{\gamma(f)}$, \bar{f} denoting the complex conjugate of f .

(3) If $G = G_1 \times G_2$, and f_1, f_2 are nondegenerate characters of second degree on G_1, G_2 respectively and $f = f_1 \times f_2$, then $\gamma(f) = \gamma(f_1)\gamma(f_2)$.

(4) For any $x^* \in G^*$, let $x^* f$ denote the function $x \rightarrow \chi(x, x^*)f(x)$, then

$$\gamma(x^* f) = \gamma(f)f^{-1}(x^* \rho^{-1}).$$

(5) If G is a finite group, $\gamma(f)$ is a Gauss sum, i.e.

$$\gamma(f) = |G|^{-1/2} \sum_{x \in G} f(x)$$

where $|G|$ is the number of elements of G .

In the next theorem, the first part is due to Weil ([16], see Theorem 5), and he bases his proof of the quadratic reciprocity law on this theorem. The second part is the main technique by which evaluation of the Weil index is reduced to that of Gauss sums. This is implicit in Weil but explicitly stated and proved in Cartier [3].

THEOREM A.3. Let Γ be a closed subgroup of G and Γ_* its annihilator in G . Let f be a nondegenerate character of second degree on G .

(1) If $f|_{\Gamma} = 1$ and $\Gamma \rho = \Gamma_*$, then $\gamma(f) = 1$.

(2) If $f|_{\Gamma} = 1$, then $\Gamma \rho \subset \Gamma_*$ and the function $g(x + \Gamma) = f(x)$, $x \in \Gamma_* \rho^{-1}$ is a well-defined nondegenerate character of second degree on $H = \Gamma_* \rho^{-1} / \Gamma$, and the Weil index of f is equal to the Weil index of g , i.e. $\gamma(f) = \gamma(g)$.

A.3. For the remainder of the Appendix, let F be a self-dual locally compact field with $\text{char } F \neq 2$, i.e. F is either a finite field or a local field. For the material of this part see Weil [16], Saito [12], Rallis and Schiffman [11].

Let η be a nontrivial continuous character of $(F, +)$. For any $a \in F$, we write $a\eta$ for the character $a\eta: x \rightarrow \eta(ax)$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_F(\eta) &= \text{Weil index of: } x \rightarrow \eta(x^2), \\ \gamma_F(a, \eta) &= \gamma_F(a\eta) / \gamma_F(\eta), \quad a \in F^\times. \end{aligned}$$

The main theorem on the $\gamma_F(a, \eta)$ is the following (see Weil [16], p. 176).

THEOREM A.4. $\gamma_F(ac^2, \eta) = \gamma_F(a, \eta)$ and the function $a \rightarrow \gamma_F(a, \eta)$ is a character of second degree on $F^\times / (F^\times)^2$ and moreover

$$\gamma_F(ab, \eta) \gamma_F(a, \eta)^{-1} \gamma_F(b, \eta)^{-1} = (a, b)_F$$

where $(a, b)_F$ is the Hilbert symbol of F i.e.

$$(a, b)_F = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } a \text{ is a norm in } F(\sqrt{b}), \\ -1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The following corollary is immediate.

COROLLARY A.5. (1) $\gamma_F(a, c\eta) = (a, c)_F \gamma_F(a, \eta)$.

(2) $\gamma_F(-1, \eta) = \gamma_F(\eta)^{-2}$.

(3) $\{\gamma_F(a, \eta)\}^2 = (-1, a)_F = (a, a)_F$.

(4) $\{\gamma_F(a, \eta)\}^4 = 1$ and $\{\gamma_F(\eta)\}^8 = 1$.

Explicit evaluation of $\gamma_F(a, \eta)$ will be given in the next section.

DEFINITION A.6. Let Q be a nondegenerate quadratic form of degree n over F . Then the Hasse invariant $h_F(Q)$ is defined as follows:

$$h_F(Q) = \gamma(\eta \circ Q) \{\gamma_F(\eta)\}^{-n} \{\gamma_F(\det Q, \eta)\}^{-1}.$$

Here $\gamma(\eta \circ Q)$ is the Weil index of the character of second degree $x \rightarrow \eta(Q(x))$ and it will be shown below that the expression on the right is independent of η .

LEMMA A.7. (1) If $n = 1$, $h_F(Q) = 1$.

(2) If $n = 2$, and $Q = a_1 x_1^2 + a_2 x_2^2$, $a_1, a_2 \in F^\times$, then $h_F(Q) = (a_1, a_2)_F$.

(3) If $Q = Q_1 + Q_2 + \dots + Q_m$, then

$$h_F(Q) = \left\{ \prod h_F(Q_i) \right\} \prod_{i < j} (\det Q_i, \det Q_j)_F.$$

In particular

$$h_F((a_1x_1^2 + \dots + a_nx_n^2)) = \prod_{i < j} (a_i, a_j)_F.$$

Proof. The parts (1) and (2) are obvious. The part (3) is proved by induction on m and using the Theorem A.2 (see [11]).

COROLLARY A.8. *If Q is hyperbolic of degree $2m$, then*

$$h_F(Q) = \{(-1, -1)_F\}^l, \quad \text{where } l = m(m-1)/2.$$

A.4. In this section we note some of the explicit evaluations that are known about $\gamma_F(\eta)$ and the Hasse invariant.

PROPOSITION A.9. *Suppose F is a finite field of char $\neq 2$. Then*

(1)

$$\gamma_F(a, \eta) = \left(\frac{a}{F}\right) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } a \text{ is a square,} \\ -1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(2) $a \rightarrow \gamma_F(a, \eta)$ is a homomorphism and $(a, b)_F = 1$ for all $a, b \in F^x$.

(3) $h_F(Q) = 1$ for any Q .

(4) If \mathbb{F}_p is the prime subfield of F , and $[F : \mathbb{F}] = n$ and $\eta' = \eta \circ \text{tr}$, then

$$\gamma_F(\eta') = \{\gamma_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\eta)\}^n.$$

(5) If η denotes the character $j \rightarrow \exp(2\pi j\sqrt{-1}/p)$, then the Gauss sum

$$\gamma_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\eta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \sqrt{-1} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. These are all well-known results, see for instance Serre's book on arithmetic. The part (5) is a famous result of Gauss and Landau's book on elementary number theory has several proof of it. It may be of some interest to mention that another proof of it (actually for any odd integer n) can be given on the basis of (4) of Theorem A.2, Theorem A.3 and the evaluation of $\gamma_R(\eta)$ for reals.

PROPOSITION A.10. (1) *When F is the field of complex numbers, $\gamma_F(\eta)$, $(a, b)_F$, $h_F(Q)$ are all equal to 1.*

(2) *Suppose F is the field of real numbers. Then*

$$(a, b)_F = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } a < 0, b < 0, \\ +1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $\eta(t) = \exp(2\pi t\sqrt{-1})$, then

$$\gamma_F(a\eta) = \eta((\text{sign } a)/8).$$

Also if Q is a quadratic form of signature (a, b) , b being the number of negative eigenvalues, then

$$h_F(Q) = (-1)^m, \quad \text{where } m = b(b-1)/2.$$

For the rest of this section let F be a non archimedean local field with $\text{char } F \neq 2$, R the ring of integers of F , π a generator of the maximal ideal of R , \bar{F} the residue field of F . Let η be a nontrivial character of $(F, +)$ and let $\text{ord } \eta$ denote the largest integer m such that $\eta = 1$ on $\pi^{-m}R$. Let $\alpha(\eta)$ denote the parity of $\text{ord } \eta$, i.e. $\alpha(\eta) = 0$ or 1 according as $\text{ord } \eta$ is even or odd.

PROPOSITION A.11. *Suppose $\text{char } \bar{F} \neq 2$. Let*

$$\bar{\eta}: x + \pi R \rightarrow \eta(\pi^{-m-1}x).$$

Then $\bar{\eta}$ is a nontrivial character of \bar{F} and

$$\gamma_F(\eta) = \{\gamma_{\bar{F}}(\bar{\eta})\}^{\alpha(\eta)}.$$

Moreover

$$\gamma_F(a, \eta) = \left\{ \left(\frac{\bar{u}}{\bar{F}}\right) \gamma_{\bar{F}}(\bar{\eta}) \right\}^{\alpha(a)}$$

where $a = \pi^{\text{ord } a} \cdot u$, u being a unit of R .

Proof. These are all known. All the other formulas can be deduced once the relation between $\gamma_F(\eta)$ and $\gamma_{\bar{F}}(\bar{\eta})$ is established. This can be done as follows. Let $m = \text{ord } \eta$. Let $f(x) = \eta(x^2)$, $\Gamma = \pi^{-r}R$, where r is the integral part of m . Then $\Gamma_* = \{x \in F | \eta(x\Gamma) = 1\} = \pi^{-r-m}R$. Now $\rho(f): x \rightarrow 2x$, relative to the pairing of F with itself defined by η . Since 2 is a unit in R , the subgroup $H = \Gamma_*\rho^{-1}/\Gamma = \pi^{-r-m}R/\pi^{-r}R$. Thus H is trivial and $\gamma(f) = 1$ when $\text{ord } \eta$ is even (see Theorem A.3). Now suppose $m = \text{ord } \eta$ is odd. Then $H = \pi^{-r-m}(R/R) = \pi^{-r-m}\bar{F}$. Now let $\bar{\eta}: x \rightarrow \pi R \rightarrow \eta(\pi^{-m-1}x)$. Then $\bar{\eta}$ is a nontrivial character of \bar{F} and $\gamma(g) = \gamma_{\bar{F}}(\bar{\eta})$. In this connection see also Saito [12] and Serre's book.

Finally we assume that F is a dyadic local field of $\text{char } \neq 2$. For any nontrivial character η on F let $\alpha(\eta)$ denote the parity of $\text{ord } \eta$ and $\beta = \beta(\eta) = e + \alpha(\eta)$. Here $e = \text{ord}_\pi 2$. Let A_β denote the ring $R/\pi^\beta R$. Let $\bar{\eta}: x + \pi^\beta R \rightarrow \eta(\pi^{-\beta-m}x)$, where $m = \text{ord } \eta$.

Then $\bar{\eta}$ is a primitive character of A_β , i.e. $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \rightarrow \bar{\eta}(\bar{x}\bar{y})$ defines a nondegenerate pairing of A_β with itself. Let

$$g_\eta(x + \pi^\beta R) = \eta(\pi^{-\beta-m-e} x^2).$$

Then g_η is a character of second degree, satisfying

$$g_\eta(\bar{x} + \bar{y})g_\eta(\bar{x})^{-1}g_\eta(\bar{y})^{-1} = \bar{\eta}(\bar{x}\bar{y}).$$

Now we apply Theorem A.4 to $f_\eta(x) = \eta(x^2)$ with $\Gamma = \pi^{-r}R$, where r is the integral part of $m/2$. One gets that $\gamma(f_\eta) = \gamma(g_\eta)$. Thus

$$\gamma_F(\eta) = |A_\beta|^{-1/2} \sum_{\bar{x} \in A} \eta(\pi^{-\beta-m-e} x^2).$$

It is not clear whether this Gaussian sum can be simplified further, in general. However this is possible when $F = Q_2$.

PROPOSITION A.12. Let $F = Q_2$ and let η be a character with $\text{ord } \eta = 0$. Then

$$\gamma_F(\eta) = 2^{-1/2}(1 + \eta(\frac{1}{4}))$$

and

$$\gamma_F(a, \eta) = \begin{cases} \{\eta(-\frac{1}{4})\}^{\varepsilon_1(u)} & \text{if } a = u, \\ (-1)^{\omega(u)} \{\eta(-\frac{1}{4})\}^{\varepsilon_1(u)} & \text{if } a = 2u \end{cases}$$

where $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$ are homomorphisms of U defined by $u = 1 + 2\varepsilon_1 + 4\varepsilon_2 \pmod{8}$ and $\omega(u) = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Bourbaki, *Algèbres*, Chapter 9, Hermann, Paris, 1959.
- [2] F. Bruhat, *Distributions sur un groupe localement compact et applications*, Bull. Soc. Math. de France, **89** (1961), 43-75.
- [3] P. Cartier, *Über einige Integral formeln in der theorie der quadratische formen*, Math. Z., **84** (1964), 93-100.
- [4] S. S. Gelbart, *Weil's Representation and the Spectrum of the Metaplectic Group*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 530, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.
- [5] Paul Gérardin, *Weil representations associated to finite fields*, J. Algebra, **46** (1977), 54-102.
- [6] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, *Geometric asymptotics*, Math. Surveys no. 14, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1977.
- [7] T. Kubota, *Topological covering of $SL(2)$ over a local field*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **19** (1967), 114-121.
- [8] Jean Leray, *Complément à la théorie d'Arnold de l'indice de Maslov*, Symposia Math., **XIV** (1974), 33-53.
- [9] G. Lion, *Integrales Interlacement sur des Groupes de Lie Nilpotents et Indices de Maslov*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 587, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977, pp. 160-177.

- [10] G. W. Mackey, *The theory of unitary representations*, Chicago Lectures in Math., Chicago Univ. Press, 1976.
- [11] S. Rallis and G. Schiffman, *Distribution Invariant par le Groupe Orthogonal*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 497, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1975, pp. 494-643.
- [12] M. Saito, *Représentations unitaires des groupes symplectiques*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **24** (1972), 232-252.
- [13] I. E. Segal, *Transforms for operators and symplectic automorphisms over a locally compact abelian group*, Math. Scand., **12-13** (1963), 31-43.
- [14] J. P. Serre, *A Course in Arithmetic*, Springer, New York, 1973.
- [15] D. Shale, *Linear symmetries of free boson fields*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **103** (1962), 149-167.
- [16] A. Weil, *Sur certaines groupes d'opérateurs unitaires*, Acta Math., **11** (1964), 143-211.
- [17] N. R. Wallach, *Symplectic Geometry and Fourier Analysis*, Math. Sci. Press, Brookline, Massachusetts, 1977.
- [18] C. C. Moore, *Group extensions of p-adic and adelic linear groups*, I.H. E. S., 1968.
- [19] G. B. Folland, *Harmonic analysis in phase space*, Ann. of Math. Studies, Princeton University Press, 1989.
- [20] G. Lion and M. Vergne, *The Weil representation Maslov index and Theta series*, Progress in Math., vol. 6, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1980.
- [21] C. Moeglin, M. F. Vigneras, and J. L. Waldspurger, *Correspondences de Howe sur un Corps p-adique*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1291, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.

Received May 13, 1991 and in revised form December 10, 1991. Research partially supported by an NSF grant.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
URBANA, IL 61801