

→ theta Expansion proof

①



同濟大學 教师备课用纸

82. Lecture 2 - Chennai - 01-12-2009

Connection Between Jacobi Forms over NF and VVMF's

We have to look into these $\mathbb{Z}m, x$ more closely.

We introduce

$$\mathcal{H}_m := \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}} \langle \mathbb{Z}m, x \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^1 / \mathbb{Z}m \mathbb{R} \rangle$$

It turns out that $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ acts on \mathcal{H}_m via $|\frac{1}{2}, m$

Actually, it is not really a ^{real} action, ~~so we~~ it is a projective one. To have a really an action we need to define the metaplectic cover of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$.

It is denoted by $MP(2, \mathbb{R})$ and defined by

~~$MP(2, \mathbb{R})$ is metaplectic~~

$$MP(2, \mathbb{R}) = \left\{ (A, w) \mid w \in \mathbb{H}^1 \xrightarrow{\text{hol}} \mathbb{C}, w^2(z) = N(cz+d) \right\}$$

Composition law:

$$(A, w)(B, v) = (AB, w(Bz)v(z))$$

For $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, $MP(2, \mathbb{R})$ acts on $\text{Hol}(\mathbb{H}^1)$ and $\text{Hol}(\mathbb{H}^1 \times \mathbb{C}^n)$ via $|k, mp|_{k, m}$, where $|k$ and $|k, m$ are defined by the same formulas as before but with $N(cz+d)^{-k}$ replaced by w^{-k} .

Thm: \mathcal{H}_m is left invariant under the $|\frac{1}{2}, m$ action of $MP(2, \mathbb{R})$.

Pf: we know $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ is generated by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} e & p \\ s & e^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ for } e \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

due to Vaserstein 1972 660k



But

~~$$\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \dots$$~~

So, ~~actually~~ $S(2,0)$ ~~is~~

using this fact it is can be deduced that

$M_p(2,0)$ is generated by $\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right)$ and

$\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sqrt{2} \right)$, where always $\sqrt{2} \in \dots$

To prove the theorem, it is enough to prove for these generators. more specifically we have

i) $\mathcal{U}_{m,x} \Big|_{\frac{1}{2}im} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right) = \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{x^2 b}{4m} \right) \mathcal{U}_{m,x}$

ii) $\mathcal{U}_{m,x} \Big|_{\frac{1}{2}im} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sqrt{2} \right) = c \sum_{y \in \mathfrak{o}^{\times}/2m\mathfrak{o}} \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{-xy}{2m} \right) \mathcal{U}_{m,y}$,

where $c = \frac{1}{|\mathfrak{o}^{\times}/2m\mathfrak{o}|} \sum_{x \in \mathfrak{o}^{\times}/2m\mathfrak{o}} \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{-x^2}{7m} \right)$.

We show (i). For (ii) we need Poisson summation formula, it is a lengthy calculation.

So, I skip this.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_{m,x} \Big|_{\frac{1}{2}im} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right) (z) &= \mathcal{U}_{m,x} (z+b, z) \\ &= \sum_{r \equiv x \pmod{2m\mathfrak{o}}} \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{r^2}{4m} \right) \zeta^r \cdot \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{x^2 b}{4m} \right) \\ &= \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{x^2 b}{4m} \right) \mathcal{U}_{m,x} \end{aligned}$$



Now we use this result to define a representation of $M_p(2, \mathbb{C})$.

Let $V = \mathbb{C} \left[\frac{\partial^2}{2m\partial} \right]$ (vector space of formal linear combinations of elements of the prop $\frac{\partial^2}{2m\partial}$). We ~~define~~ ^{use} e_x for the elements associated to $x \in \frac{\partial^2}{2m\partial}$.

Define

$$\rho : M_p(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(V),$$

$$\rho(\alpha)(e_x) = \sum_y \rho(\alpha)_{y,x} e_y \quad \text{where} \quad \rho(\alpha)_{y,x}$$

are defined by $\mathcal{L}_{m,x} \Big|_{\frac{1}{2}, m} \alpha = \sum \rho(\alpha)_{x,y} \mathcal{L}_{m,y}$.

Theorem: ρ is indeed a representation.

pf: Straight forward.

Remark: ρ is the ^{so-called} Weil representation of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ associated to the form $\left(\frac{\partial^2}{2m\partial}, \text{tr} \left(\frac{X^2}{4m} \right) \right)$.

Thm: ρ is unitary w.r.t to the scalar product

$$\langle e_x | e_y \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & x=y \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

pf: Straightforward calculation ~~of the~~ for the generators using (i) & (ii).



We have a transformation law for a Jacobi form ϕ ^{w.r.t} ~~under~~ ~~the action of~~ $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$.
 Furthermore, we have the theta expansion and the transformation law for $\mathcal{V}_{m, \chi}$. This should imply also that h_χ have some transformation law.

To investigate it more closely, we introduce the notion of VVMF.

Defn:
 Let $\rho: Mp(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow GL(V)$ be a finite dimensional representation, where $\ker \rho \subseteq Mp(2, \mathbb{C})$ f.index.
 we set for $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$,

$$M_k(\rho) := \left\{ F: \mathfrak{h}^n \rightarrow V \mid F|_k \alpha = \rho(\alpha) F \right\}$$

For the case $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we need to add some condition.

Thm: The application

$$\phi = \sum h_\chi \mathcal{V}_{m, \chi} \longmapsto h = \sum_\chi h_\chi e_\chi$$

defines an isomorphism

$$J_{k, m}^K(\chi) \longrightarrow M_{k - \frac{1}{2}}(\chi \rho^*) \quad , \quad \text{we } \rho^* \text{ is}$$

the conjugate representation of ρ .
 (i.e.: $\rho^*(\alpha)(e_x) = \sum_{y \in \mathfrak{h}^n / 2\pi i \theta} \overline{\rho(\alpha)}_{y, x} e_y$)



Exs.

let $\phi = -$

we have $\phi = \sum_x h_x \mathcal{U}_{m,x}$.

Now $\phi(x(\alpha)) =$
~~then~~

$$= \phi |_{k,m}^\alpha = \sum_x h_x |_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^\alpha \mathcal{U}_{m,x} |_{\frac{1}{2},m}^\alpha, \quad \alpha \in MP(2,0)$$

$$= \sum_x h_x |_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^\alpha \sum_y p(\alpha)_{x,y} \mathcal{U}_{m,y}$$

$$= \sum_y \left(\sum_x h_x |_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^\alpha p(\alpha)_{x,y} \right) \mathcal{U}_{m,y}$$

then $x(\alpha)/h_y = \sum_x h_x |_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^\alpha p(\alpha)_{x,y}$.

Equivalently, $h_y |_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{\alpha^{-1}} = \sum_x h_x p(\alpha)_{x,y} x(\alpha^{-1})$

But then,

$$h |_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^\alpha = \sum_x h_x |_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^\alpha e_x$$

$$= \sum_x \left(\sum_y h_y p(\alpha)_{y,x} x(\alpha) \right) e_x$$

~~$$= \sum_y h_y \sum_x p(\alpha)_{y,x} x(\alpha) e_x$$~~

~~$$= \sum_y h_y \sum_x p(\alpha)_{y,x} x(\alpha) e_x$$~~



But ρ is unitary, hence $\rho(\alpha^{-1})_{y,x} = \rho^*(\alpha)_{x,y}$.

So,

$$\begin{aligned} h|_{V-\frac{1}{2}\alpha} &= \sum_y h_y \left(\sum_x \rho^*(\alpha)_{x,y} \chi(\alpha) e_x \right) \\ &= \sum_y h_y \chi(\alpha) \rho^*(\alpha) (e_y) \\ &= \chi(\alpha) \rho^*(\alpha) \left(\sum_y h_y e_y \right) \\ &= \chi(\alpha) \rho^*(\alpha) h = \chi \rho^*(\alpha) h. \end{aligned}$$

So, h is a ~~HVF~~ VVMF. So the map is well-defined.

Corr 1: $h_x \in M_{k,1}(\ker(\chi \rho^*))$ for all x . } via versa
if $h = \sum h_x e_x$ in $M_k(\mathbb{R})$
then $\phi := \sum h_x \otimes m_x$ is

Corr 2: $\pm f \in \mathcal{J}_{k,m}^k(\chi)$ then $C_\phi(\text{tr}) = 0$
unless $\langle m, r^2 \rangle \gg 0$.

Corr 3: $\dim(\mathcal{J}_{k,m}^k) \leq \dim M_k(\mathbb{R}) < \infty$.

Pf of Corollaries:

Proof of Corr 1:

h is invariant under $\ker(\chi \rho^*)$. Hence, the coordinate functions h_x are also invariant under $\ker(\chi \rho^*)$.

Proof of Corr 2: The Koetter principle holds for h_x from Corr 1. (since it holds for HVMFs)

a JF
by rev.
the op.
the int.
follows
since
for fixed
 \subset the
fn's
 $\dim_x(r_i)$
or lin
ind.
(etc)

①



同济大学 教师备课用纸

But
$$h_x = \sum_{\substack{D \\ \frac{r^2-D}{4m} \in U^\#}} q^{-\frac{D}{4m}} c_\phi\left(\frac{r^2-D}{4m}, r\right).$$

So, $c_\phi\left(\frac{r^2-D}{4m}, r\right) = 0$ unless $D \leq 0$. \square

Proof of Cor 3:

Follows from

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{J}_{k,m}^k(X) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & M_{k-\frac{1}{2}}(P^*X) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \bigoplus_{x \in \mathcal{D}/2m} M_{k-\frac{1}{2}}(\text{Ker}(X P^*)) \\ \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & k = \sum_x h_x P_x & \xrightarrow{\cong} & (h_x)_{x \in \mathcal{D}/2m} \end{array}$$

We know $\mathcal{J}_{k,m}^k(X)$ have finite dimension. Hence,

$$\dim \mathcal{J}_{k,m}^k(X) < \infty.$$